

1.

Doorkeeper: "Attention Members of the House of Representatives, the House will convene in 15 minutes."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. The Members will please be in their seats. We will be led in prayer by the Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Reverend Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son and the Holy Ghost. Amen. Oh Lord, Bless this House to Thy service this day. Amen. It is written in the second Book of Samuel, the second Chapter, at the seventh verse: 'Therefore, let your hands be strengthened, and be valiant. Let us pray. Almighty God, our Everlasting Father, we laud and magnify Thy beneficent kindness to us and to all men; and especially for calling upon we who are gathered in this Session to serve in this House of Representatives of the State of Illinois. Of Thy mercy, O Lord, endow us with distinctive power that will enable us to seek and see solutions to the issues before us to be considered this day, that we may have an indomitable will to follow Thee and to be a formidable foe to the forces of humanism and existentialism that are eroding the life, liberty and happiness, which under Thee, we have been blessed to possess; through Jesus Christ, our Lord. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "The Pledge of Allegiance. I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America. And to the Republic for which it stands, one nation, under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all. Roll Call for Attendance. Your switch only. Let the record show that Representative Walsh is here, participated in the prayer... Messages from the Senate. Hurry up. Mr. David Jones."

Clerk O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the



House of Representatives..."

Speaker Redmond: "Take the record..."

Clerk O'Brien: "That the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution, the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit: Senate Joint Resolution #115. Adopted by the Senate June 11, 1980. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in passage of Bills of the following titles, to wit: House Bills 2906, 3002, 3031, 3032, 3033, 3059 and 3061. Passed by the Senate June 11, 1980. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in passage of a Bill of the following title, House Bill 3019, together with Senate Amendment #1, passed the Senate as amended June 11, 1980. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of a Bill of the following title, to wit: House Bill 3053, together with Senate Amendment #1, passed the Senate as amended June 11, 1980. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of a Bill of the following title, to with: House Bill 3055, together with Senate Amendment #1, 2 and 3. Passed the Senate as amended,



June 11, 1980. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

Speaker Redmond: "Committee Reports."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Pierce, Chairman of the Committee on Revenue, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 12, 1980, reported the same back with the following recommendations. Do pass Senate Bills 1457, 1643 and 2004. Do pass as amended Senate Bills 1497 and 1828. Do pass Consent Calendar Senate Bills 1927, 1999 and 1957. Do pass Short Debate Calendar Senate Bill 1522. Representative Jaffe, Chairman of the Committee on Judiciary I, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 12, 1980, reported the same back with the following recommendations. Do pass Senate Bills 1762. Do pass as amended Senate Bills 1712, 1741 and 2022. Interim Study Calendar, House Joint Resolution 98. Representative VonBoeckman, Chairman from the Committee on Motor Vehicles to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 12, 1980, reported the same back with the following recommendations. Do pass Senate Bill 1709 and 1750. Do pass Consent Calendar Senate Bill 1668 and 1710. Be adopted, House Joint Resolution 101. Representative Willer, Chairwoman from the Committee on Human Resources, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 12, 1980, reported the same back with the following recommendations, do pass Senate Bill 1508 and 1808. Do pass as amended Senate Bills 1881 and 1978. Representative Farley, Chairman from the Committee on Labor and Commerce, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 12, 1980, reported the same back with the following recommendations. Do pass Senate Bill 1773. Do pass as amended Senate Bill 1726.



4.

Representative McGrew, Chairman to the Committee on Higher Education, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 12, 1980, reported the same back with the following recommendations. Do pass Senate Bills 1577 and 1817. Do pass as amended Senate Bill 1559. Do pass Short Debate Calendar Senate Bill 1569. Representative McClain, Chairman from the Committee on Environment, Energy and Natural Resources, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 12, 1980, reported the same back with the following recommendations. Do pass Senate Bill 1979. Do pass Consent Calendar Senate Bill 1713. Do pass as amended Short Debate Calendar, Senate Bill 1548. Be adopted, Short Debate, House Joint Resolution 102. Representative Yourell, Chairman from..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich, for what purpose do you rise?"

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it gives me great pleasure to introduce the 6th, 7th and 8th grade students from schools all over the Cook County area. They are all winners of the Justice Day Essay Contest, which was sponsored by Morgan M. Findley, the Clerk of the Circuit Court. They're up in the gallery visiting the Legislature here and I'd like to give them a nice round of applause."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Yourell, Chairman from the Committee on Counties and Townships, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 12, 1980, reported the same back with the following recommendations. Do pass Senate Bill 1759 and 1966. Representative Laurino, Chairman from the



Committee on Elections, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 12, 1980, reported the same back with the following recommendations, Bill do pass Senate/ 1510 and Senate Bill 1893. Representative Terzich, Chairman from the Committee on Personnel and Pensions, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 12, 1980, reported the same back with the following recommendations. Do pass as amended Senate Bill 1752. Do pass Consent Calendar, Senate Bill 1799. Representative Sharp, Chairman from the Committee on Public Utilities, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 12, 1980, reported the same back with the following recommendations. Do pass Senate Bill 1813 and Senate Bill 2002. Representative Garmisa, Chairman from the Committee on Transportation, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 12, 1980, reported the same back with the following recommendations, do pass Senate Bill 1518, 1543 and 1956. Do pass as amended, Senate Bills 1500, 1541, 1544, 1545 and 2020. Do pass Short Debate Calendar, Senate Bill 1760, 2005 and 2006. Representative Chapman, Chairman from the Committee on Appropriations II to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 12, 1980, reported the same back with the following recommendations. Do pass Senate Bill 1589. Do pass as amended Senate Bill 1622, 1631 and Senate Bill 2000."

Speaker Redmond: "Reading of the Journal."

Clerk O'Brien: "Journal for the 139th Legislative Day."

The House met pursuant to adjournment..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we dispense with the reading of the Journal and that Journal #139 of June 3, 1980, 140 of June 4, 1980 and 141 of June 5, 1980, be approved as read."



Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? You've heard the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor say 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries, the reading of the Journal is dispensed with and the Journal is approved as if read. On the Order of Senate Bills, Third Reading appears Senate Bill 1585. Representative Reed is recognized."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1585. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to fire protection districts. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Reed."

Reed: "Mr. Speaker, that's the Bill that I referred to that I would like to have returned to the Order of Second Reading. However, the second Amendment to be put on that legislation has not yet been distributed."

Speaker Redmond: "Leave it where it is, Mr. Clerk, until the Amendments are out? Okay, I'll recognize you at the appropriate time."

Reed: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Senate Bill 1441...appears on the Order of Senate Bills, Third Reading. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1441. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to create the Commission for Environmental Development. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dawson."

Dawson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to have leave to bring that back to Second Reading for an Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave to return it to the Order of Second Reading? Hearing no objections, leave is granted for the Order of Second Reading. Any Amendments from the floor?"



Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Campbell - Schneider.  
Amends Senate Bill 1441 as amended in the title  
by inserting, 'and committees' immediately after  
'commission' and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Campbell."

Campbell: "Yes, Mr... Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen  
of the House, Amendment #2 is simply Senate Bill 1451,  
which we did not get to address in Rules Committee  
and it is recommended by the Legislative Advisory  
Committee in increasing the membership from 6  
members to 8 members with one more member being  
appointed from each of the two houses. And this  
is to give better geographical balance to the State  
of Illinois and it also increases the membership  
on the Land Resources Management Study Commission  
from 18 members to 22 and I would ask your favorable  
support."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Campbell moves the adoption of  
Amendment #2. Any discussion? The question is  
on the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor say  
'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the  
motion carries, the Amendment is adopted. Any  
further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Dawson.  
Representative Dawson...Dawson."

Dawson: "I'd like to have the record show that I am the  
Sponsor on that. They had Monroe Flinn listed in there  
as the Sponsor of that piece of legislation."

Speaker Redmond: "No, of the Bill you mean, don't you?"

Dawson: "Yes, the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dawson is the Sponsor of  
that Bill rather than Representative Flinn."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Polk, do you want to go  
with 1613? Read the Bill a third time, Mr. Clerk."



Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1613. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Labor. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Polk, on Senate Bill 1613."

Polk: "Senate Bill 1613, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, is the...is the ordinary and contingent expense for the Department of Labor. The bottom line is 146 million, 351 thousand. GFR is 14. Federal is 132. It was amended in Committee by Wikoff with a small reduction. It got out of Committee 23 to zip and I would appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Before we take a final Roll Call let's have another quorum call. Let's all vote for attendance. Will the Doorkeeper open Representative Vinson's switch for him? Or show Vinson as being 'present'... Representative Vinson as being 'present'. Doorkeeper, Representative Vinson needs a key for his switch. Take the record, Mr. Clerk. Mrs. Younge... Will someone hit Mrs. Younge's switch? Is everybody on the Roll Call? Okay, is there any discussion on...take the record, Mr. Clerk? Back on 1631... 1613. Representative Polk, to close."

Polk: "The Bill got out of Committee 23 to 0 and I would appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Oblinger, do you want to speak on this Bill? I see your button is on. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1613 pass? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Third Reading. Have all voted who wish? Representative Vinson...record him as 'aye'. His button...his key is missing. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 114...15 'ayes', 14 'nay' 2 voting 'present', and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed."



Representative Vinson, do you want to go with Senate Bill 1614? Vinson? 1614. Out of the record. Representative McAuliffe, on 1616."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1616. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the local governmental law enforcement officers training board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McAuliffe, on Senate Bill 1616."

McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1616 appropriates 3 million, 169 thousand dollars for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Local Law Enforcement Officers Training Board, which reimburses local communities for police training and mandated programs and I ask your favorable approval."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1616 pass? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 152 'ayes', 0 'nays', 0 voting 'present' and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Vinson should be recorded as voting 'aye'. Representative Mahar, on Senate Bill 1623. Clerk, read 1623."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1623. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent/<sup>expense</sup>of the Military and Naval Department. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Mahar, on 1623."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1623 appropriates 6 million,



842 thousand, 700 dollars for the ordinary and contingent expenses for the military and naval department. It represents an increase over last year. The increase is broken down as thus; 27 new positions in the facilities division to...in the amount of 580 thousand dollars. 357 thousand dollars for contractual services, that's repairs, emergency repairs and so forth. 104 thousand dollars in increasing commodities and then there is a 400 thousand dollar increase for permanent improvements to armories around the state. Happy to answer any questions and ask for its adoption."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1623 pass? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 138 'aye', 12 'nay', 2 voting 'present' and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill... Representative Reilly on Senate Bill 2024. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 2024. A Bill for an Act concerning fairness in lending and real estate mortgages by financial institutions operating in this state. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Reilly, on Senate Bill 2024."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 2024 simply puts back into place some provisions currently in the state's anti-red lining law, which were inadvertently repealed last year. The law will not be any different after the passage of 2024 than it was before. The problem is, come July 1, if we don't act, these Sections



of the law will be repealed. I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Bullock on Senate Bill 2024."

Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Will the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Bullock: "Representative Reilly, this Bill came before the Financial Institutions Committee and at that time we had some discussion on it in regards to its impact. Is this Bill in any way related to the new Human Rights Agency as was encompassed in 1377 as passed this House last Session?"

Reilly: "Not really, except that in the Bill that created the Department of Human Rights. It was in that Bill that...by the operation of that Bill that these Sections would be repealed if we don't act. But the Sections here are the so-called, 'pure red lining', that is the red lining that has been for some years now illegal in Illinois, whether it's related to discrimination or not and so this Bill directly doesn't have anything to do with that Department."

Bullock: "It is my understanding that the Human Rights Agency as encompassed in 1377 did eliminate a ban on discrimination so that this measure in some way is still in question and thus there's a need for the legislation to clarify this point, is that correct?"

Reilly: "No. The discriminatory red lining provisions are clearly in 1377. But you also have a situation where a financial institution may not be making loans in a certain area for any other reason, regardless of the fact that there's no discrimination involved. And so here we're not...we're talking about, as I said, the



so called, 'pure red lining', not the part that involves discrimination."

Bullock: "But you will agree that 1377 did inadvertently eliminate the fairness and then the provision. Is that correct?"

Reilly: "This part of it, yes."

Bullock: "Yes. Will you also indicate during the Committee hearing that this is one in a series of Bills that have been introduced this Session which attempt to correct some of the deficiencies of the statute that created the new Human Rights Agency, is that correct?"

Reilly: "The Governor, after the...as part of the negotiations that went up to the passage of that Bill, promised that he would have a Committee sit down, a broadly based Committee sit down and look at some things that were alleged deficiencies, some other things were simply suggestions that people wanted to add to that Act. We've done that, and yes, this is one of the Bills that came out of that Committee."

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to address the Bill if I could...If I could have some order..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue...continue."

Bullock: "Well thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Sponsor of Senate Bill 2024 is absolutely correct that this Bill does try to clarify a problem that has existed as a result of the new human rights agency's creation as encompassed in Senate Bill 1377. I would like for the House to know that this is one of approximately six Bills that have come through the Legislature this Session with some 20 odd Amendments, which go to the heart of the issue that was discussed in this Session, last Session of the General Assembly. And at that time some 80 succinct Amendments were proposed to clarify



and to correct substantive and technical deficiencies in the Human Rights Act. I certainly think that the House should give this Bill their support but I think that we should also take inventory of the fact that these changes encompassed in Senate Bill 2024 were in fact many of the proposals for change that were offered in the last Session of the General Assembly."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Deuster, on Senate Bill 2024."

Deuster: "If the Sponsor would yield for a question. The synopsis indicates that in the Senate an Amendment was added to this Bill that provides that if a person who feels they're suffering from some discrimination as a result of this Bill, as a remedy under this Bill or this Act or any other Act that that person may elect to enforce his or her rights under one of the Acts but not both. Now my question is this, as I remember years ago in the old cruel common law system, if you went and got... you had a different remedy, you could get one writ and you could get another writ. But if you chose the wrong writ and lost out you were just out of luck. Now I wonder, I'm asking you this question, Representative Reilly, what is the reason for saying that if you initiate an action, it doesn't say that you get any remedy that it's just that if you bring an action under one Act and for some reason you don't get any redress that you are prevented from enforcing your rights under any other Act. Why was that Amendment added and why is this limitation on the rights of people to enforce their rights in the Bill?"

Reilly: "The answer is several fold. First of all it isn't a limitation. If the Bill doesn't pass they won't have a right of action come July 1. That Amendment was



added in the Senate at the suggestion of Financial Institutions and was concurred in by those who were proponents of the Bill that it would be unfair to put the financial institutions in the position of having to be fired at from two directions at once. The provisions in both Acts, both in this Act, if we pass it, and in 1377 are very comprehensive. It's hard to conceive of somebody falling in between the cracks. But the...the thought was it was unfair to have them both in trouble with the Department and in court at the same time on the same set of facts."

Deuster: "Well, in a way what you're doing is you are allowing an individual person to innocently maybe fall into a trap by electing to exercise rights under one Act and then if that doesn't work out and no remedy is received you may find that they might have... you...they might have...their circumstances might have really more properly justified remedy under another Act that...what you're doing is as good as repealing the other Act because they can't elect their remedy. They've lost."

Reilly: "Now that's completely wrong, in my opinion at least. In the first place the Bill wouldn't have passed...have come out of Committee to begin with in the Senate had this Amendment not been put on. So come July 1 there would not be these provisions in the law at all had that Amendment not been put on."

Deuster: "Well then it's a very significant Amendment, isn't it? If it's the only way the Bill could have gotten out of Committee then it's quite significant and it is a limitation on rights, is it not?"

Reilly: "Well, I think I've made the...the issue clear. If we don't pass the Bill they won't have any rights. I don't know how you can speak of limiting rights that



don't exist."

Duester: "Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Vinson on Senate Bill  
2024."

Vinson: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Vinson: "I wonder if you could elucidate for me the  
language in...on lines 31, 32 and 33 of page 1 of  
the Bill. It says that the financial institution  
cannot deny/<sup>or vary</sup>the terms of the loan on the sole basis  
of the child bearing capacity of an applicant or  
spouse. Does that mean that if the basis for denying  
or varying the terms of the loan is based on the  
financial institutions assessment of the probable  
income of the purchaser or the borrower in question that  
they may deny or vary the terms of the loan?"

Reilly: "My interpretation would be that it would be based  
on their assessment of financial ability. They  
could, they could still deny the loan. All this  
language, I would repeat, is currently law. We're  
not adding anything to the law in that respect. And  
I did ask those questions of AMBI and of the other  
bank...the association that mainly deals with the  
smaller banks and both have no problems with this."

Vinson: "And if the assessment of the probable change in  
income were related to the fact of child bearing  
capacity, that would still be a permissible basis  
for varying terms of the loan."

Reilly: "If you mean for example, a loan officer might say,  
well, 15 thousand dollars a year is enough income  
now. Probably...my guess is you're going to have  
a kid, you look pregnant and you're going to have  
a child in six months and 15 grand may not be enough  
then. As long as it is strictly on the basis of  
finance, yes."



Vinson: "Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Reilly, to close."

Reilly: "Well, I think we've made the issue clear. As I say, I've checked this with the financial institutions and I know the position of the people who are proponents of the law. I would simply ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Giorgi: "All right, the question is, shall Senate Bill 2024 pass? All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Hit Mr. Peter's button, someone. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 135 'ayes', 8 'nays', 13 voting 'present'. And the...House Bill...Senate Bill 2024, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed and Representative and Senator Pete Miller would like to make an announcement about last night's ball game. Representative... Senator Miller."

Miller: "Thank you. Ladies and Gentlemen, the real winners last night were the boys of Springfield. And this is the money that the House bought the tickets for. We sold about 800 dollars in the Senate. We did a beautiful job for the kids. They're the real winners. I know I stink as a coach but I'll tell you I wish...I wish...I miss the days when I coached the House because Redmond says that's where the talent is and I'm beginning to think he's right. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Senate Bill 1506. Senate Bill 1506. Representative Daniels, for what reason do you rise? Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Well I think we ought to hear from Coach Capparelli."



Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Capparelli, would you like to say some words about the ball game last night?"

Capparelli: "I'd just like to thank all the fellas that came out to practice and worked very hard. And they proved that a little practice goes a long way. They did an excellent job and I congratulate all of them. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Score...Capparelli, what was the score?"

Capparelli: "34 to 1."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Griesheimer, on the same subject."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if you'd inquire of the coach of the Senate team. I realize that the boys of Springfield are the real winners. We'd like to know who the real losers are."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Kosinski, on the same subject."

Kosinski: "I's sure it's apparent to every Member of the House that the Senate -House game each year is really batting practice for the House."

Speaker Giorgi: "Senate Bill 1506. Representative Mahar."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1506. A Bill for an Act extending the corporate limits of the metropolitan sanitary district of greater Chicago. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Prior to Mr. Mahar taking the floor. Did someone lose their car key near John Leon's desk? It's up here. Representative Mahar on Senate Bill 1506."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1506 enlarges the corporate limits of the metropolitan sanitary district. Amendment #1 and #3 enlarge the area in Rich Township.



Amendments #2 and 4 deal with Hanover Township.

I ask for your support."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any discussion? Any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1506 pass? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Karpel, on this Senate Bill."

Karpel: "I had a question on this."

Speaker Giorgi: "Ask your question."

Karpel: "May I ask the Sponsor..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Ask your question."

Karpel: "...that none of the inclusions on this include anything up near Barrington Township?"

Mahar: "That is correct."

Karpel: "Palatine Township?"

Mahar: "That's correct."

Karpel: "All right, thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Mugalian, on Senate Bill 1506."

Mugalian: "I wonder if the Sponsor would indicate to me, since Hanover Township is in the Second Legislative District, whether it's <sup>in</sup> the North half or the South half, if he knows?"

Mahar: "It was an Amendment, Senator Regner's Amendment in the Senate, to the Bill, which initially was for Rich Township in my district. I do not have the exact legal description here. But..."

Mugalian: "Would it be possible to take this out of the record so we could both look at it, Bill, for about twenty minutes?"

Mahar: "It's okay with me."

Speaker Giorgi: "Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 673. Senate Bill 673."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 673. A Bill for an Act to amend



the Environmental Protection Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Rea, on Senate Bill 673."

Rea: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

Last year there was legislation adopted that required the E.P.A. to do a study of air pollution standards in the Chicago, Peoria and East St. Louis areas.

This Bill, Senate Bill 673, requires that if a study shows it is possible to relax those standards in the metropolitan areas without violating any federal requirements, then E.P.A. will propose such a rule change to the Pollution Control Board.

This is a piece of legislation that is very important in terms of the use of coal in the State of Illinois.

In recent days we have had the closing of other coal mines that we anticipate that within the next couple weeks, or within the next week, there will be two more that will close in Southern Illinois, Old Ben 24 and possibly Old Ben 21."

Speaker Giorgi: "Jim...Jim, for a minute, we'll have Jim Halpin come in..."

Jim Halpin: "I'm Jim Halpin, from / <sup>the</sup> Department of Conservation.

I have Woodsy the Owl and Smokey the Bear with me.

This is just a reminder, we're looking forward to seeing you people tonight at the fairgrounds at the Conservation legislative party. We'll be looking forward to having you there. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Does Smokey the Bear or Woodsy Owl want to say something...okay. Representative Borchers, on the subject at hand."

Borchers: "Well, I was just curious if the bear is going to be on the menu?"

Speaker Giorgi: "How's that?"

Borchers: "Is the bear going to be the menu?"



Speaker Giorgi: "Bear meat would taste good today. Mr. Rea, continue on Senate Bill 673."

Rea: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think that this, based upon the study would require, certainly that if there is a need then the state standards would be lowered to those of the federal standards as it relates to the burning of Illinois coal as it relates to the sulphur dioxide emission standards. I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Johnson, on Senate Bill 673."

Johnson: "Mr. Rea, I have several questions. I couldn't quite hear your explanation. Tell me again what the mechanism for implementation of these relaxed standards is."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Rea."

Rea: "If it shows that the...the Illinois Pollution Control Board, it would be recommended to the Illinois Pollution Control Board that they implement the less stringent standards if it shows that in the study that would be made by this legislation."

Johnson: "Well now who's making the study and who recommends to the Pollution Control Board?"

Rea: "Okay, under...under present law, which we passed this Session earlier, E.P.A. is doing a study of the air pollution standards in Chicago, Peoria and East St. Louis areas. And this Bill here would require that if the study shows it is possible, if it is possible to relax those standards in the metropolitan areas without...without violating any federal requirements, then E.P.A. will propose a recommendation in terms of a rule change to the Illinois Pollution Control Board."

Johnson: "Well, I guess what I don't understand is, who makes... I mean, how do we ascertain whether there's a



determination made that these relaxed standards won't have an effect. I don't understand the mechanism that this is going to be implemented under. Are we mandating that the Pollution Control Board adopt lesser regulations if EPA says then that that can be done?"

Rea: "We are recommending to the Illinois Pollution Control Board. We are not mandating, we are recommending."

Johnson: "Well, why are we doing this by a Bill. My analysis of this indicates that it would require the Pollution Control Board to implement those lesser standards."

Rea: "Your...Your analysis may be based upon the original Bill prior to this...the Amendment that was made."

Johnson: "Well what is...I don't understand the point. One of my concerns is the constitutionality of a delegation of legislative powers to boards without more standards than we have. I think there's some Constitutional problems with that, number one. Number two, I think anybody who's concerned about a clean environment and clean air would be concerned about it. And number three, I think and you correct me if I'm wrong, Representative Rea, that this Bill is almost identical to Senate Bill 281 of the last General Assembly, which was vetoed by the Governor and which he indicated, and I'm quoting from his Veto Message, that the Bill violates the original rationale of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act and urges to have the Pollution Control Board adopt regulations based on technical finding. Is it the same Bill or nearly the same Bill? And if it is, what have you done to cure the problems that were raised by the Governor in his Veto Message?"

Rea: "Originally, it was the same Bill. It was changed based upon the Amendment, which has been agreed upon



by E.P.A., by the Governor's Office and by the co-operators and UMWA. The first Bill was mandatory. This one is a recommendation to the Pollution Control Board based upon the study. If the study show finds that the state standards in these areas are more stringent than federal and can be relaxed without any harmful affect."

Johnson: "Can you tell me, Representative Rea, based on your knowledge or air emission standards and environmental areas generally, whether it's ever possible to relax emission standards and not have some effect, if not a significant effect, on the air quality. That's just not possible, is it?"

Rea: "I think it's very possible. And based upon the studys that have been made and including our legislative council of the neighboring states in terms of their emission standards that it's very possible and the study will show that they can be lowered without any significant effect and that there will be, and I think there is always a need for a well balanced program and I think, you know, there has to be someplace in between we can..."

Johnson: "Well, what I'm saying is, you can lower them ad infinitum and I suppose there would only be an incremental effect on air pollution. But the fact of the matter is, don't you think the basic issue that 's got to present itself to the Legislature is whether Illinois wants...wants to set a model for itself of air quality beyond Missouri or beyond Kentucky or beyond Indiana? I mean it's clear, isn't it, that if we relax standards there is going to be an effect on air quality. It may not be...it may not make our <sup>air</sup>/quality any worse than Missouri, Kentucky or Indiana but when you relax air pollution standards it does have an effect,



doesn't it?"

Rea: "Representative Johnson, I am not... I am very supportive of clean air and a clean environment, clean water, and I am not proposing that these standards be lowered any more than those of the federal guidelines, which I think provides for a...a clean an healthy environment."

Johnson: "Mr. Speaker, then briefly to the Bill. It's basically the same issue in a little different form that we faced in a House Bill here two or three weeks ago. And that is whether we want to set a model in Illinois, particularly in an industrial state of our nature, for having air quality standards beyond those that are those of our neighbors. I think that's a heritage we can leave to our children or our grandchildren and it's something that in the area of expediency now we're going to regret five and ten and twenty-five and a century of years down the road. And I urge, for that reason, that we reject this Bill. As I understand it, as modified it's kind of a do nothing Bill anyway. But at least I think the same Veto Message that was given by the Governor before would be generally applicable now. And those people that support a clean environment ought to vote 'no' on this."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Greiman, on Senate Bill 673."

Greiman: "Yes, is it my understanding that the Institute of Natural Resources is now conducting a study on the impact of sulphur oxide in the air? Is that right?"

Rea: "That is correct, under House Bill 226."

Greiman: "And do you know when that is going to be available to Members of the General Assembly and the public?"

Rea: "There is a...an effective date on it and I think it's



within the next few weeks, but I can't say exactly."

Greiman: "So that... So that in the next few weeks we will have the report that has been authorized by the Illinois General Assembly to be made regarding the quality of air in Illinois. On the Bill, Mr. Speaker. We have...we have invested some money into this report. Invested the time and the thought into this report and it's forthcoming. And now we come here weeks, just weeks before the report will be available. And we begin to legislate without the very facts that we said two years...a year ago, that we were seeking. This Bill is premature. Aside from its being questionable, as Representative Johnson has suggested, as far as an improper delegation of authority to an agency to make legislative determinations, aside from that, aside from even the notion that it may well be the report will say we should have more stringent standards, why should we feel in the darkness when we have authorized the payments for a report when we have determined that we will have a report. Wait. Wait six months until the new Session when we have the facts available, when the report's been made and we will then not deal in ignorance but deal in...in...with a calm, informed judgment. I might also suggest the course that it is curious to hear on the floor of this House people saying we should have the federal standards. So often we reject the notion that we want the Federal Government to tell us things. So often we talk about state's rights, our rights. Why would we at this time, on this issue, say how we want... we crave federal direction. I think that's certainly inconsistent with a lot of the things I hear on this. And if nothing else this Bill should be put on the



shelf until the next General Assembly. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Kornowicz, on Senate Bill 673."

Kornowicz: "Move the previous question."

Speaker Giorgi: "The motion is to move the previous question.

All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the previous question prevails. Representative Rea, to close."

Rea: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Under the...the Bill in its present form, and unfortunately I think that some of the people that have spoken on the Bill have looked at the original Bill, not looking at the Amendment that was made. <sup>Because</sup> /this Amendment changes it to a certain degree and as a result of the Amendment it's one that the E.P.A. has reviewed and is in agreement with as well as UMWA and the Coal Operators and the Governor's Office. I feel that this piece of legislation is important and that we should move ahead because it's based upon, if the study shows. And that study will be coming forth and as soon as that study is here I feel that if there is need in this direction and if the study reflects that we are more stringent than at the federal level then we should be able to take an immediate action. So I ask for an 'aye' vote. I feel that this is very important to the use of Illinois coal. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 673 pass? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Borchers, to explain his vote."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm voting 'aye' or will vote 'aye' for a simple reason. I don't think this has a thing to do with state's rights. This merely says that our coal people and our industry here, the E.P.A. will



not make rules stronger and harsher than the federal. It has nothing to do with state rights. We still maintain our...our rights. We are just not going to accept rules that are worse and stronger and harsher than our own...our own people make than what the Federal Government makes. It's simply the logical step to take."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. Representative Currie, do you want to explain your vote? On this question there are 105 'ayes', 41 'nays', 2 voting 'present', and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Bullock wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Representative Dunn, 'aye'. John Dunn. Representative Patrick, 'aye'. Did you get those three? Representative John Dunn, Patrick and Bullock and Taylor Pouncey as 'aye'. And...just a minute, okay. All right. Representative Jaffe, 'no'. Representative McPike, 'no'. Representative DiPrima wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Representative Stanley wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Representative Balanoff wants to be recorded as voting 'no'. Karpziel wants to be voted 'aye'. The record has been taken. The record has been taken. I'll reannounce the results. Senate Bill 670, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Pullen, do you want to call 1378? Out of the record. Representative Mahar, that Bill is out of the record yet? Representative Flinn on bi-state. He's out of the... Senate Bills. Second Reading. Representative Dave Jones on Senate Bill 1619. Is he in the chamber? Senate...read Senate Bill 1619,



Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1619. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Historical Library. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any Committee Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #1 was withdrawn in Committee.

Floor Amendment #2, Anderson. Amends Senate Bill 1619 on page 1, line 11 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Anderson on Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1619."

Anderson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Amendment #2 adds 16 thousand 200 dollars to the FY 81 Budget for the repair and operation of electronic wands. Now the wand system of interpretation was designed to supplement the use of human interpreters. In other words in each of the rooms of the historical library and the state...the old state capitol there are these electronic recorders which give off a interpretation of the particular/<sup>area</sup>they're in. Now we've had children come a long distance to see the old state capitol and they've been run in one door and out the other door because there hasn't been enough interpreters. At least with this on there there will be an interpretation for them to hear and they won't be shuffled through the building without hearing anything. It has gotten so bad that the Director over there has a form letter, 'Dear Guest, please accept our apology.' So for 16 thousand, 200 dollars I think this would be an asset to all of us and something that has been needed."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Jones on Senate Bill 1619,



on the Amendment."

Jones: "I'm in full support of the Amendment. This Bill has been held on Second Reading because Chairman Chapman of the Appropriations II wanted some more information from the state historian as to why this situation existed. And he has now, that has been supplied and this Amendment, we believe, is in order and we urge your support of the Amendment #2 as sponsored by Representative Anderson."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is, shall Amendment #2 be adopted? All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giorgi: "Third Reading. Will the Parliamentarian please come to the podium? Parliamentarian? Senate Bills, Second Reading. 1629."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1629. A Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the State Fire Marshall. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1, 2 and 3 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any motions on those Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4. Mulcahey. Amends Senate Bill 1629 on page 1, line 33 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Mulcahey on Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 1629."

Mulcahey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Amendment #4 simply restores 17 thousand 300 dollars to the fire prevention division of the Office of the State Fire Marshall. It puts one additional... not one additional, but it puts one position back into the...into the Bill as it was originally. The



Senate, for some reason or the other, took this one position out and they feel that it is needed and I do too so we're just putting that one position back in."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Leverenz, on Amendment #4."

Leverenz: "Would the Gentleman yield for a couple of questions?"

Mulcahey: "No."

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue."

Leverenz: "Well, why did the Senate take this one position out?"

Mulcahey: "Probably for the same reason that it got beat last night 34 to 1. Often times they just plain don't know what they're doing."

Leverenz: "Would this...perhaps you could give us the statistics. This is to fund a position for fire... did you say protection or prevention?"

Mulcahey: "Fire prevention."

Leverenz: "Well could you give me how many...what the total reduction of fires were in the State of Illinois last year because this position was funded?"

Mulcahey: "The total reduction of fires last year in the State of Illinois actually was not reduced. It increased."

Leverenz: "It increased?"

Mulcahey: "Oh, my golly. I guess maybe you should double the appropriation and we'd both get a spot. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Steele, the Sponsor of the Bill."

Steele: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As Sponsor of this Bill I rise in support of this Amendment by Representative Mulcahey. The Senate actually took 12 out. This puts 2 back. It's within the scope of the



Governor's budget. There's no question but what these positions are important if the job's to be done and I rise in support of it and urge your green lights on it."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is, shall Amendment #4 be adopted? All in favor will signify by saying 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment #4 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giorgi: "Third Reading. Representative Peters, Senate Bill 1639. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1639. A Bill for an Act to provide for the appropriation of the Department of Public Health. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 4 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any motions on those Amendment?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Giorgi: "No floor Amendments. Third Reading. Senate Bill 1841, Representative McGrew. Read the... Senate Bill 1841."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1841. A Bill for an Act to amend the State Scholarship Commission for Fiscal Year 1980 Appropriation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2. Hallstrom. Amends Senate Bill 1841 by deleting the title..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Hallstrom, on Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1841."

Hallstrom: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment provides for 14.2 million in supplemental appropriations to fully fund four mandated programs which have a formula for



reimbursement. Special Education personnel, special education transportation, special education extraordinary classes and regular and vocational transportation. Unless this legislation is passed the State of Illinois will fail to fully reimburse school districts for the money that they've already expended. They will have over 14 billion dollars less of local money to provide for other educational programs in their district. It means that increased costs for state and federal mandates must come from the local taxpayers. I urge your 'yes' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Skinner, on the Amendment."

Skinner: "Is there any money in this Bill for bilingual education?"

Hallstrom: "No, Sir."

Skinner: "Marvelous."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Leinenweber, on the Amendment."

Leinenweber: "You say this... <sup>does</sup> /this Amendment basically put on this Bill, which I understand is an appropriation of the Scholarship Commission, money for the categorical programs that was defeated in Representative McCourt's Bill?"

Hallstrom: "No, Sir."

Leinenweber: "Are any of those programs funded in your Amendment?"

Hallstrom: "No, Sir. Only the four that I mentioned."

Leinenweber: "What four were they again?"

Hallstrom: "That's special education personnel, it's regular and special education transportation and special education extraordinary services."

Leinenweber: "How much money is involved with the Amendment?"

Hallstrom: "14 million point 2."

Leinenweber: "Is it in the budget of the Governor or not?"



Hallstrom: "No, it is not, Sir. No it is not, Sir, and that was...that was in error. The State Board of Education is supporting the Bill now, but they really should have come in, I believe, with a program, a piece of legislation that would have taken care of this. For those of you that have been around a long time, Representative Charlie Clabaugh introduced this kind of legislation most every year because it was difficult to determine the exact amount that was going to be needed in special ed."

Leinenweber: "Well you say the State Board of Education is for the Bill. What about the Bureau of the Budget?"

Hallstrom: "Well, I went before the School Problems Commission and all the House Members are Co-Sponsors/ <sup>of this Bill.</sup> The Bureau of the Budget was there and he didn't say anything at that point."

Leinenweber: "Did he express that they had no...that they did not express that they had a position either way on it?"

Hallstrom: "No, they did not express anything at that meeting."

Leinenweber: "So this is an additional 14 million dollars though, is that correct?"

Hallstrom: "Yes, it is, Sir."

Leinenweber: "Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Chapman, on the Amendment."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I hope that you will join me in voting 'yes' on the lady's motion to adopt Amendment 2. A very strong position that many of us in the Legislature have taken is that formula driven mandates in the statutes should be fully funded year in and year out. These schools have expected a particular dollar based on what the state statutes require. And when the time comes



they're paid 95 cents on the dollar or 89 cents on the dollar. This is not fair to school districts. It has been condemned by the...the Governor, so I would hope that the Governor would be lining up all his folks in the Legislature to vote 'yes' on this Amendment. Because I know the Governor believes that these mandates should be fully funded also. I surely do, I hope you'll vote 'yes' with Mrs. Hallstrom."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Pierce, on the Amendment."

Pierce: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I agree with the previous speaker. These monies were already expended by the school districts of our state pursuant to a mandate. Not one they made up but one they made up but one we imposed upon them. The mandate for special education of all handicapped children in our state, some of these funds were expended for non-public schools and special education institutions where the child could not be adequately in the public school. Some of these funds were for extraordinary special education in the public school. Both under programs that I sponsored into law back in the 1960's. Others were for special education summer programs. Programs where the school districts have spent the money pursuant to our mandate and now a mandate of the federal law as well, PL 94142. We're not appropriating money here that they're going to spend in the future, we're only reimbursing them for money that they have spent, not because they wanted to but because we mandated that they spend it so that every child in our state, regardless of the nature of his handicap can achieve an education up to its potential. And I think it's now our obligation, as we have in the past, to reimburse these school districts throughout our state



for the money that they expended for handicapped children pursuant to our direction and mandate."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Peters, on the Amendment."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to stand in opposition to the Amendment offered by the distinguished Representative from the North Shore. This Bill was heard in Committee, the Appropriations Committee, it did not receive sufficient votes to be approved by that Committee. A motion was heard by this House to discharge that Committee. That motion failed. This Bill comes back a third time like Lazarus from the dead, to once again be considered by this House. The funds that we appropriate for special education are in fact the law. If we appropriate 100 million dollars, that is what the school districts have to spend. If they end up spending 110 million dollars, the 10 million dollars extra that they end up spending is a problem that they have. If we end up starting this kind of process, what you are in fact saying to the school district is you have a blank check. If you spend 100 million, 120 million, 150 million, 200 million, whatever you end up spending, come into next year and we will give you the funds. Now, if that's what this House wants to do, if that's the House posture you want to put this Committee into, then you certainly will want to vote in support of the Amendment made by Representative Hallstrom. I don't think that was the purpose of the law. I don't think that's a part of the deliberative process in the Appropriations Committee or before this House, and frankly, I don't think that's the kind of fiscal management or accountability that this General Assembly wants for itself or would demand of any school district or



any local government or an entity at all. Further, why, if in fact there is such an inequity as some people claim, why don't we go back and reimburse the school districts for what they spent in excess in 1979 or in 1978, or in 1977 or in 1976? Why not go back for the last 20 years and refund them whatever they paid in excess. This is an area we are embarking onto that I think causes very serious kinds of problems. Frankly, another part of the problem is putting it on this...this Amendment on this Supplemental to the Illinois Scholarship Commission in my estimation also causes some danger and some problems for this Bill once we get it to the Senate and in Conference Committee. I leave it to the wisdom of the House and the Members here as to what they think is right and what kind of precedents they want to set for the Assembly in terms of funding all of these school programs. It is not in the budget, it is not a part of the approved program, it is in fact a reimbursement to the school districts for what they spent over what we appropriated. If you want to do that, give everybody anything they want over the appropriation, then that's a judgment that you have to make but that's a judgment also that's going to have to come back and haunt this House when we have to start talking about cutting back programs in places or tax increase, one another. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McGrew, on the Amendment."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As the Sponsor of the Bill I would like to perhaps clarify some statements and make some things a little bit more clear. Representative Peters basically is correct. However, there was some inaccuracy in that this Bill, or this



Amendment would provide that the state would fund special education as it says in the statutes. We are not giving anyone a blank check. This is specifically what we told the school districts, by law, that we would provide. And in that regard it's an excellent Amendment. To be quite honest the Governor of this state has campaigned that we need to pay for everything that was mandated and in that regard he deserves this appropriation on his desk. However, all of that notwithstanding, let me point out that this is for fiscal year 1980. The school districts have already set up their budgets. It is something that would be coming afterwards, after the school year is already over and I think that is not particularly the best wisdom, the wisest course to follow. I think much more reasonably, what we need to do is to appropriate all of the monies necessary to fund it in the future. And for that reason I'm going to vote 'no' and resist the Amendment even though in theory it definitely should have been on."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Gene Hoffman, on the Amendment."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Governor's educational funding program for FY '81 provides for 100 percent reimbursement or provides for the state to cover the entire cost of...per formula in the various categories, including special ed. for the coming fiscal year. The Amendment being suggested by the Representative from Cook would provide that we would fund this particular category at 100 percent for FY '80. We should have been meeting these mandates all along. The request on the part of the Representative, in my judgment, is a reasonable request and should be supported."



Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Kosinski, on the Amendment."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, I fund the previous motion."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is, shall the main question be put? All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay', the 'ayes' have it and the main question shall be put. Representative Hallstrom, to close."

Hallstrom: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I respectfully disagree with my esteemed colleague. I...He's indicated that there were some actions taken as far as not getting through Appropriations, coming to you on the floor. But I would ask you to understand, I have not used anything that is illegal or unethical. And I would be less than an effective Legislator if I didn't use every possible tool to get a Bill passed through when I believe in it so much. And I know other Members do the same thing and I'm just learning. But I'd like to say to you that in regard to the amount for that we have a blank check, I thank the Representative from the other side who indicated to you, this is not true. We have already authorized 6,250 dollars per professional personnel. That was back in 60...75. That amount has not been raised one penny. I do not think this is unreasonable. There are 100 school districts up in my area...have asked for this legislation. It will benefit every one of your school districts. We're not asking for more money. We're asking for what this Legislature, in their wisdom, said would be the amount that would be given to school districts for these different programs. I urge you please to vote 'yes'. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is, shall Amendment #2 be adopted? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and



those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Robbins, to explain his vote."

Robbins: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we go about willy-nilly adding programs to our schools. Then we agree to fund them. Then they spend the money and here we are failing to reimburse them. Now that's what this Bill is, is a reimbursement for money already spent that the state has required that they spend. It's time that we get an elected Superintendent of Schools to oversee and see to it that we don't expand our programs beyond what we're providing the money for."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Satterthwaite, to explain her vote."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, simply to reiterate what the Sponsor has told you in support of this Bill, all this does is put us in a position of living up to our obligations for this school year. These are programs already underway, yes. But they...also these are obligations that are on our shoulders and funds that we ought to be providing for those programs this year. I urge a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 80 'ayes', 66 'nays' and 5 voting 'present' and this Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3. Peters. Amends Senate Bill 1841 on page 1, line 17 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Peters, on Amendment #3."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, the Amendment would reduce the appropriation but the House isn't in the mood to do that so I'll just withdraw it."

Speaker Giorgi: "Does the Gentleman have leave to withdraw the



Amendment? No objections. Leave is withdrawn...

Third Reading. Are there any further Amendments, rather?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giorgi: "Senate Bill 1841, Third Reading. Senate Bill 1844."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1844. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Motor Vehicle Retail Installment Sales Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1. Collins - Capparelli. Amends Senate Bill 1844 on page 1, line 16 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Collins, on Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1844."

Collins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1844 is the Bill that increases finance charges under the Vehicle Installment Sales Act. Amendment #1 would decrease the increase by one dollar in all categories. It's an Amendment that has been agreed to by the Sponsor of the Bill and myself and I would offer it for its adoption."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? There being no further discussion, the question is, shall Amendment #1 be adopted? All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay', the 'ayes' have it and Amendment #1 is adopted. Any further Amendment?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Third Reading. Representative Mahar for an announcement and Representative Greiman for an announcement. Representative Mahar first."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. There will be a Republican Conference in Room 118 right away."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Greiman, on the same subject."

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. There will be a Democratic



Conference in Room 114 right away. The subject matter of that conference will be worker's compensation.

I would ask the Membership to be present."

Speaker Giorgi: "Neither one of you indicated how much time you're going to need. What's the time?"

Greiman: "About a half hour to 40 minutes."

Speaker Giorgi: "45 minutes? What time is it? It's 1:30 now, we'll return to the House floor at 2:15.

Both parties in caucus. The House is in recess for 45 minutes."

Speaker Redmond: "No secretaries allowed on the floor. Representative Daniels, no secretaries allowed on the floor. Representative Ropp, for what purpose do you rise?"

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, for the point of an introduction."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I am delighted to introduce to this august body a group of charming young ladies from McLean County, representing the McLean County Farm Bureau. They're to our immediate back and rear. About half of that group are relatives of mine so I hope you give them a very warm welcome. Some of them are all around the Capitol Building and these are the ones that have come to the House. Glad to have you here today and come back again often."

Speaker Redmond: "Were they here for the game last night, Representative Ropp? Were they here for the game last night? Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, now this is a special Member... special message, I mean, for the House of Representatives. Many of you have probably received letters regarding our group health insurance program. And we are now in the final day of where members may exercise certain options under the group insurance program. Number one, anyone who does not have dependent coverage



at the present time will have the option of coming under the group insurance program by including their dependents without any medical evidence whatsoever. Also, you have an opportunity to elect to go into an H.M.O. program, and also very importantly, there's an optional life benefit whereby a member may purchase up to four times the amount of their earnings for life insurance benefits. Now everyone received notification of this. If you have not received notification we have Mr. Tom Wall, who is from the Group Insurance Department in Zeke Giorgi's Office to either answer any questions you may have and also take your application for increase in your life insurance or changing your group health insurance program. So this is the last opportunity you have to exercise these options. Mr. Tom Wall is in Zeke Giorgi's Office. Any Member who has any questions or wants to exercise that option today may do so right now. He's waiting for you. It's another service on the part of Representative Terzich to take care of his fellow Members."

Speaker Redmond: "The President of the Senate is gracing the chambers. He's a fine ball player. He led the Senate last night. There will be a Rules Committee Meeting this afternoon if anyone wants to...to move to waive the posting rule for any measure that they have I would suggest they go back in my office and see Nancy and fill out the forms. Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, if you're looking for something to do I have, what I consider a noncontroversial Bill in 1597 on Third Reading, Senate Bill. And if that's what you're waiting on, I'll move it now."

Speaker Redmond: "What is it, that airport Bill?"

Flinn: "This is an appendix to that. We're going to extend the



airport. No, it..."

Speaker Redmond: "What is it, 1597?"

Flinn: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "As soon as we get a few more bodies here. The House will come to order. Members will please be in their seats. Representative Barnes, you seeking recognition? Oh. Pardon me. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk Leone: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the following Preamble and Joint Resolution. Adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit: Senate Joint Resolution 116, adopted by the Senate June 12, 1980. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution. Adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit: Senate Joint Resolution 114. Adopted by the Senate June 12, 1980. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution. Adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit: Senate Joint Resolution 117. Adopted by the Senate June 12, 1980. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution an adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence



of the House of Representatives, to wit: Senate Joint Resolution 113. Adopted by the Senate June 12, 1980. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Redmond: "On page 6, the Order of the Speaker's Table, appears House Resolution 551. Representative Katz is recognized."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, this is a congratulatory Resolution to someone in my district due to something that happened, I guess, they forgot to put it on the agreed list. I assume it congratulates Marge Benton, who is a member of the United Nations for her service. It is not controversial in any way. No objection has been made to me on it and I would move the adoption of this Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "I'd like to know what she's being congratulated on."

Katz: "Yes, she is being congratulated on being appointed a member of the American delegation to the United Nations to her being...working with UNICEF. It's the usual congratulatory Resolution. She was appointed to a position in the United Nations and to UNICEF and this congratulates her. She lives in Evanston. She has various organizations. There's honestly nothing that I can see at all controversial. It takes no position on even the United Nations."

Vinson: "It doesn't have anything to do with aid to North Vietnam?"

Katz: "None whatever."

Vinson: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the... Representative Penny Pullen."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, since Mrs. Benton is rather controversial and since this Resolution did not go on an agreed list, I wonder whether we might have the



Resolution read so that we could decide for ourselves?"

Speaker Redmond: "Have what?"

Pullen: "Have the Resolution read, please, so that we can hear it..."

Speaker Redmond: "Read the Resolution."

Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 551. Whereas, this Body is proud and privileged to join with the Democrat Party of Evanston, Illinois, in honoring one of their own who has distinguished herself in this nation and in the world as a member of the American delegation to the United Nations and as a U.S. Representative to UNICEF. And whereas, she now serves as national co-chairman of the Americans for SALT and has served in many executive and advisory board capacities including, Save the Children Federation, Northwestern University Institute of Psychiatry, U.S. Commission for International Year of the Child, Americans for SALT, Films Inc. of Wilmette, Illinois and the Alliance to Save Energy, Washington, D.C. The U.S. Institute for Policy Studies, Washington D.C., the International League of Human Rights, the Arms Control Association, and the Family Focus, Chicago, Illinois. And whereas, she is on the Executive Board of the Democrat House and Senate Council, Director of the Benton Foundation on the Advisory Boards of Cook County Welfare Services Committee and the National Women's Political Caucus and the Women's Campaign Fund; and whereas, her interests are very wide and extend beyond her work with children and on arms control or limitation, nationally and internationally, her community concerns in these fields and other, and even the Democrat Party, which is justly proud of her and her of it, as she is Honorary Trustee of the National Symphony Orchestra, Washington, D.C. and the



United Nations of Chicago and also she is on the International Board of the Bulletin of Atomic Sciences. And whereas, her foreign affairs activities include being a public or other delegate on a Commission or Committee of U.S. to United Nations on subjects of disarmament and the relationship between U.S. foreign policy and Human Rights and to the Agency for International Development for Private Voluntary Organizations. And whereas, the Democratic Party has recognized her and she has honored it as a delegate to every Democratic National Convention since 1972, and she has always served on important Committees of those conventions and in the 1976 Convention was the Carter Floor Leader for Illinois, Nevada and Oklahoma. And whereas, she has traveled widely and lectured on her interest in children and arms control or limitation, and in 1978 she received the UNICEF Award for outstanding public service and, in 1979, she received the Alumni Service Award, National College of Education. Whereas, the Democratic Party is proud to have such a talented lady to represent and lead them in the high echelons of the National Democratic Party, who works with such ability, energy and vigor nationally and internationally on such vital issues as children and armaments. And whereas, if all this were not enough she is also an exquisite beauty with irresistable grace and charm. Therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the Eighty-First General Assembly of the State of Illinois, that we join with the Democratic Party of Evanston, Illinois, in honoring Marjorie Craig Benton, an Evanston Democrat who has distinguished herself in this Nation and the world by her work with and on behalf of children through local, state and national organizations



and through the United Nations Organization, UNICEF Agency, and her work on arms control or disarmament. And be it further resolved that a suitable copy of this Preamble and Resolution be presented to Marjorie Craig Benton, Democrat/~~Extraordinaire~~<sup>Extraordinaire</sup>, Evanston, Illinois."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wonder whether there is a technical problem with the Resolution itself. It sounded to me as if we were commending this lady. I wasn't sure that that was the way the results was... was really suppose to read after hearing all those whereas clauses about SALT and saving Washington, D.C., whatever that is and things like that. And I wonder, Mr. Speaker, whether we could please have a Roll Call vote on this Resolution. I think that now that we have heard it read we realize that it is of great import and has some foreign policy and national policy implications and I...I request a Roll Call, please and I would like several other Members to join me in that request."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Well, first of all, I want a Parliamentary Inquiry before I address the Bill or inquire of the Sponsor. Is this a motion to bypass Committee, Representative Katz?"

Katz: "No, This came out of the Executive Committee. Reported out do pass out of the Executive Committee. Through some way, and I don't know how, it happened not to get on the Agreed Bill list. It went to Committee and this is just to accept the do adopt recommendation of the House Executive Committee."

Johnson: "Well, addressing the Bill the, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the distinguished Chairman of the Judiciary II Committee really wasn't too accurate



in his initial description of this Bill, or of this Resolution. If this is a noncontroversial Resolution then...then we ought to go back to the drawing board and we can come up with a good many of them also. There's a good many people in this House of Representatives, a., who don't think we ought to be addressing questions in the Illinois Legislature of national significance. But b., if we are who believe that SALT II and SALT I and SALT III and IV and V, however many there are, are things that are not in the best interest of this country. There are people on the Republican side who are not excited about condoning or commending through a unanimous House Resolution, someone who prides themselves on putting Jimmy Carter in the Presidency. And I think there's certainly sufficient matter contained within this Resolution that it's something that ought certainly not to be put on the Agreed List and in my mind, certainly ought not to be passed. I don't want to go on record as commending, I don't know who Marjorie Craig Benton is, but I don't want to be on record as commending someone who counts as one of their significant accomplishments degrading this country's national defense into a position of third and fourth rate power, which is where the Presidency of Jimmy Carter has taken us. I think it's a bad Resolution. It's certainly a bad one to put on the Agreed List and I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Borchers: "I'm curious if you happen to know how and what participation she has had in reducing the strength... the divisional strength of our Army, for example, from 335 Soviet divisions to our 18. Do you know anything



about how she happened to help on this disarmament?"

Katz: "Mr. Borchers, I haven't the slightest idea of what her position is or has been with regard to that Reso...to that issue. This Resolution does not go into the issues. It is the usual congratulatory Resolution drafted by the Reference Bureau. I was honoring a constituent. It went through...it was drafted downstairs. It simply said we congratulate her on this kind of thing. It's been done a thousand times here. It does not say SALT is great or isn't great. SALT entered it only because downstairs in the Reference Bureau they found that she had been an honorary officer of this or that...in actual... Yes, go ahead."

Borchers: "From what I read in the Resolution is, she...it specifically addresses the idea of disarmament and I was curious what she had to do, for example, in the reduction of our submarine fleet to a second rate power. What did she do about that? Do you know?"

Katz: "I haven't the slightest idea. The Resolution takes no position. It simply joins with the Democratic Party of Evanston in honoring her. That's all it does. It's much ado about nothing, as far as I can see. I don't understand what all the concern is. We vote these things out a thousand times for constituents. I was honoring a lady and I am sorry that it has produced this kind of a result."

Borchers: "This is not a usual situation. I cannot help but think of the multiple warheads of the atomic power of the Soviet Union and we've been restricted to single warheads. These are the things that concern me. And if she had a hand in it I think she's... she's nearly close to being charged a traitor and ought to possibly by indicted and tried for treason."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I really think we're making much ado , really about nothing. Marjorie Benton is really a most distinguished lady. Were she a Democrat or were she a Republican... I think that this really should be on the Agreed List. You know, I realize this gives some of the Member in this Body an opportunity to talk about foreign policy when they don't have a chance to do so. But the Resolution doesn't deal with SALT I, it doesn't deal with SALT II, it doesn't deal with American Foreign Policy. All that it says is that we're going to honor a most distinguished lady, Marjorie Benton, and I think we ought to stop our foolishness and vote this Resolution through. I think we have more serious things to do than to fight over a Resolution like this."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It was very noisy in here when the Clerk started to read the Resolution and after he got done with the first line I think the noise level in here was so high, would it be okay if the Clerk read the Resolution one more time so we could hear it?"

Speaker Redmond: "Objections have been raised. Anyone else? Representative Darrow? Representative Katz, to close."

Katz: "This is a distinguished constituent. I filed a Resolution congratulating her. It went to the Executive Committee, they recommended 11 to 3 that it be adopted. It does not take any position on any foreign policy issue and I would urge that the recommendation do adopt, of the Executive Committee, be endorsed by the House."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House...motion that the House Resolution 551



be adopted. Those in favor indicate by voting 'aye', opposed voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 78 'aye' and 37 'no' and the motion prevails and the Resolution is adopted. On the Order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 934. Representative Pierce is recognized on 934."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 934. A Bill for an Act in relation to cigarettes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I would like leave to return this Bill to the Order of Second Reading where one of my distinguished colleagues has an Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave to have 934 returned to the Order of Second Reading? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1. Getty. Amends Senate Bill 934 by deleting the title and inserting in lieu thereof, the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 934 clarifies the fact that a state's attorney is empowered to prosecute criminal violations that are applicable and arise under proper ordinances where those ordinances give rise to, for example, a theft that would be a violation of the criminal code of the State of Illinois. This is to clarify certain language that was contained in a recent decision of the Illinois Supreme Court. I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment 1. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The



'ayes' have it, the motion carries, the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Senate Bills, Third Reading, 1597. Representative Flinn. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1597. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to further effectuate the certain compact between the states of Missouri and Illinois made...entered into September 20, 1949, the greater bi-state metropolitan development district and bi-state development agency. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Monroe Flinn."

Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill comes about because since the bi-state development agency has been created they were under the assumption that they had the right to transfer property. And during the construction of the I-270 down in Madison and St. Clair County it became necessary for bi-state to exchange some property with the Illinois Department of Transportation and there was a ruling that came down from the Attorney General's Office that they did not have statutory authority to transfer property. So the Attorney General of Illinois and of Missouri recommended this legislation. Missouri has already passed identical legislation and it's required that both states pass identical legislation so that the authority to transfer property is legal and I would move for the adoption of this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 122 'aye' and 2 'no'. The Bill,



having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Is there any other Member that has a Bill on Third Reading and wants to return it to Second Reading? Representative Reed, is your Amendment ready now? Let's see, what is that? 1585?"

Reed: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Will you read 1585?"

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1585. A Bill for an Act in relation to the fire protection districts. Third Reading of the Bill."

Reed: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd request leave to return this to the Order of Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Lady have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. It will be returned to Second Reading. Are there any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1. Rea. Amends Senate Bill 1585 on page 1, line 1 and 6 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Lady's motion for the adoption of Amendment 1. Those in favor say 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendment?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2. Reed. Amends Senate Bill 1585 on page 1, line 17 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Who's the Sponsor? Reed? Representative Reed."

Reed: "In protecting the interest of private ambulance companies we've merely inserted the word, 'emergency' word before the ambulance in the case of ambulances provided by private, or by fire protection districts. I would ask your approval."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the



Lady's motion for the adoption of Amendment 2. Those in favor say 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills, Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1982. Representative Vinson, do you want 1982 moved along? Page 3. Okay. Will you read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1982. A Bill for an Act to amend the FY 80 appropriation for the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2. Vinson. Amends Senate Bill 1982 as amended and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Vinson withdraws Amendment 2, is that correct? Any other Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Katz, an announcement. After Session."

Katz: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. There will be a meeting of the House Rules Committee immediately upon the end of the Session. That will be in the Speaker's Office immediately upon the end of the Session."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Willer."

Willer: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, there will be a meeting of the Human Resources Committee immediately after we adjourn and it will only take 15 to 20 minutes if everybody is there on time. The matters are now not controversial so please be there and we'll be out in 20 minutes, I promise."



Speaker Redmond: "For the benefit of the Members, we're going to have a Rules Committee meeting right after the Session and if you have any Bills that you want considered by the Rules Committee, be sure that you fill out a form and give it to Nancy in my office and you also have to get permission from the Body to suspend the appropriate rules. Now we've got a... Representative Christensen."

Christensen: "Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the appropriate.."

Speaker Redmond: "I think...if you don't mind, I'm making a list of these things and I'll call it off.

Representative Ryan." Representative Ryan...

Representative Ryan, are you seeking recognition?"

Ryan: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I have a motion filed that I wish the Clerk would read regarding Senate Bill 1739 and 1740."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Pursuant to Rule 18(k), I move to suspend the posting requirement of Rule 18..."

Ryan: "That's not it, Jack."

Speaker Redmond: "1739..."

Ryan: "And 40."

Speaker Redmond: "And 1740. Read the motion, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Pursuant to Rule 27(a), I the principal and chief Sponsor of Senate Bill 1739 and 1740, request that the record show the following person is added as Chief Sponsor . Representative Madigan, Chief Sponsor, Representative Ryan, Co-Sponsor. Signed, Representative George Ryan."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan, on the motion."

Ryan: "I move for the...the adoption of the motion, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the motion with respect to Senate Bill 1739. Those in favor...and



1740. Those in favor of the motion indicate by saying 'aye'; 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries. That's with respect to 1739 and 1740. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I now move to suspend the posting requirements to permit the Rules Committee to hear Senate Bill 1739 and 1740 this afternoon."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the motion. Those in favor indicate by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Your motion is with respect to both 1739 and 40, is that correct? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 138 'aye' and 1 'no' and the motion carries. We'll depart from the... this sequence. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I now move to suspend Rule 25(e) with respect to Senate Bill 1739 and 1740 until Friday, June 17, 1980. The affect of this motion would be to permit Committee consideration of these two Bills next week. It is contemplated that the Labor and Commerce Committee will meet on Tuesday afternoon at 2:00 p.m. to consider 1739 and 1740."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 145 'aye' and no 'nay' and the motion carries. Representative...Agreed Resolutions. Representative Cullerton. Representative Cullerton. We'll be back to the additional motions but we have agreed."

Cullerton: "House Resolution 9...Gaines - Williamson, relative ...Chicago, Illinois. 25...Representative Giorgi, Chrysler loan. Representative Chapman...959, 1980



Illinois High School Association Badminton Championship. House...Agreed Resolution 863, Representative Giorgi Jai alai scam. House Resolution 860, Macdonald...13 year old Linda Cooper, olympic gymnastic trials. House Resolution 864, Giorgi raffles some chances. House Resolution 861, DiPrima, congratulates Mrs...Soletto for being born 100 years ago in Italy. House Resolution 865, Giorgi, pay raise for Legislators, Agricultural Premium Fund. Representative...866, Giorgi, Rockford Civic Center from pin ball receipts. House Resolution 872. Whereas, it is with great pleasure that we are in the position to honor and commend one of our own Members for outstanding and meritorious service to its constituents in the entire state. And whereas Representative E.J., Zeke Giorgi, holds the distinct honor of having successfully passed more Agreed Resolutions than any other Member of the House of Representative in the entire history of the General Assembly. And whereas, in reviewing Zeke's background we have come to realize that the 40 months during which he courageously served his country as a Sergeant during World War II are directly responsible for his clear, concise and readily understandable speech patterns, which patterns are readily evident whenever Zeke rises to speak for one of his Resolutions. And whereas, there are not sufficient words to express our thanks to Zeke for the hundreds of hours during which he has presented these invaluable Resolutions. Resolutions that have been made abundantly clear by our Membership...to our Membership by Zeke's charismatically commanding oratory. And whereas, the residents of the 34th District should be made to realize Zeke's unique position in the General Assembly directly reflects back



on their own intelligence in sending him to Springfield. And whereas, we have all absorbed an immense lesson in legislative skill from Zeke. Therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives, of the Eighty-First General Assembly of the State of Illinois that we commend Zeke Giorgi on attaining the unique honor of being the Resolution King of the Eighty-First General Assembly and we extend this honor and designate him the Resolution King for all time. And be it further resolved that we request Zeke to continue to undate, inundate this Chamber with these worthwhile Resolutions in order to enable us to observe and perhaps acquire his unique oratory ability and be it further resolved that a suitable copy of this Resolution and Preamble be presented to Zeke Giorgi and to Representatives of every media source in Zeke's legislative district. I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolution."

**Speaker Redmond:** "Those in favor say 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries, the Resolution is adopted. Representative Murphy on Senate Bill 1483. Representative Borchers, the son of a distinguished Congressman."

**Borchers:** "Well I would like to ask a question. I was busy talking and I wasn't sure whether that last Resolution was a ...was a king of the revolution or Resolution? Could that be brought out to me?"

**Speaker Redmond:** "I don't think anybody knows but it's too late to go back now. We've closed the voting and it's been adopted."

**Borchers:** "The Chair is being..."

**Speaker Redmond:** "Representative Murphy on 1483."

**Murphy:** "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to have the rule suspended so I could have



Senate Bill 1483 heard in Rules today."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion on Representative Murphy's motion? The question is on the motion. Those in favor indicate by voting 'aye' and opposed by voting 'no'. This is Murphy's. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk... Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "In explaining my negative vote on this, I think Members of the House ought to be aware that this would have a very detrimental impact on the State Revenue situation, would substantially cutback on the sales tax we collect and it ought to be defeated if we want to have...preserve any hope of balancing the budget this year."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Daniels: "Mr. Sponsor, would this be part of the transportation program that was presented last year?"

Murphy: "Mr. Daniels, I believe you're right. They pushed that one through on us and we're trying to take it back to our people."

Daniels: "This is the one on the 30 dollars private transfer fee? And this is part of the money that was supposed to go into the General Revenue Fund of the State of Illinois, which would help fund the transportation plan? Is that correct?"

Murphy: "That is correct."

Daniels: "How did you vote on that?"

Murphy: "Sir?"

Daniels: "How did you vote on the plan?"

Murphy: "On the package?"

Daniels: "Yeah."

Murphy: "I voted against it, Sir."

Daniels: "You voted against the whole package?"



Murphy: "Yes, Sir."

Daniels: "Okay, that's one good thing on your side."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, there is nothing saying that this Bill has to get out of the House Revenue Committee in the same form in which it enters the House Revenue Committee. I personally think that the general...that the transportation fund cannot afford to lose the amount of money that this tax alleges to bring in. But that doesn't mean we can't cut out an exemption for the junk cars, the ghetto cruisers, the trailers that cost 50 dollars with a tax of 30 dollars. So I think we ought to stick it into the Rules Committee, have a hearing and get it to the Revenue Committee where we can rework it in an acceptable form. And if you haven't got letters yet from your constituents moaning, bemoaning the fact that they have to pay a 30 dollar tax on 125 dollar car, you're very lucky. The Director of the Department of Revenue is getting them quite periodically and has a very difficult time justifying this tax with a straight face. I think anybody voting 'no' will have a difficult time justifying the tax once one of those letters arrive."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "How many votes does this take, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "107."

Ewing: "107? We will call for a verification, I will, if it gets 107."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, I'd just like to point out that this has been in the Rules Committee since May 23, which is what, about three weeks ago or so."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take



the record. On this question there's 104 'aye' and 49 'no' and the motion fails. Representative Murphy."

Murphy: "Poll of the absentees, Sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Murphy has requested a poll of the absentees. Poll the absentees. Representative Hudson."

Hudson: "Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Chairman. Did you not declare that this motion had failed?"

Speaker Redmond: "I did but I recognized Mr. Murphy and it seems to me that the rules provide that at any time he's in order on this. Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, I can't believe that once you've made your declaration you can back it up. Now if we start doing that here we better extend our Session until next August."

Speaker Redmond: "That's what I'm afraid of."

Ewing: "Well I can't... I'm going to ask you to reconsider that ruling. You...you've never done it in the six years I've been here. If he wants to file..."

Speaker Redmond: "I'll have the Parliamentarian correct me. My memory is that I once refused the motion and I was corrected after it was over. Somebody pointed out the rule that I was in error. Now whatever the rules provide is the way the decision's going to be."

Ewing: "But you have announced the vote on this, Mr. Speaker. That's the difference. That's the decree."

Speaker Redmond: "Let's see what the rule says. I...my memory is that I once ruled the other way and I was corrected. Now if I'm in error I'll back up on it. I think that I was in error and I will abide by the... What rule is that? Rule 49 seems to preclude after the declaration of the results. The motion fails. 1694." Representative Younge."

Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I ask that the rules requiring posting for the Rules Committee be suspended



and that Senate Bill 1694  
be heard immediately."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion with respect to...  
Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, could the Sponsor indicate the subject matter  
of the Senate Bill 1694?"

Younge: "This Bill would amend the Revenue Act and would  
add an option for withholding protested property  
taxes from distribution. It would add the option  
that an amount could be withheld, an amount equal  
to the average annual tax objections sustained over  
the preceding five year period. It would have the  
affect of increasing the revenue flow that is badly  
needed, is an emergency necessary to the operation  
of government."

Brummer: "Why wasn't this posted previously?"

Younge: "It was an oversight on my part, an error."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question is  
on the Lady's motion. Those in favor vote 'aye',  
opposed vote 'no'. Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, I'm not... I can't find where we're  
at on the Calendar. Could you tell me on what  
Calendar we're..."

Speaker Redmond: "Well these were all requests to suspend  
the rules to permit these Bills to be heard in  
Rules today."

Ewing: "Well do they have to appear on the Calendar?"

Speaker Redmond: "That's what this motion is all about."

Ewing: "To suspend the rule that they are on the Calendar."

Speaker Redmond: "That's right, it takes 107 votes.  
Have all voted who wish? Have all voted?  
Representative Younge."

Younge: "Mr. Speaker, poll of the absentees, please."

Speaker Redmond: "On this question there's 97 'aye' and 23  
'no'. Representative Younge has requested a poll of



the absentees. Mr. Clerk, poll the absentees. Take the record."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees. Ackerman. Barnes. Boucek. Braun. Breslin. Campbell. Casey. Daniels. Davis. Ralph Dunn. Ebbesen. Dwight Friedrich. Garmisa. Greiman. Hanahan. Hoffman. Hoxsey. Hudson. Huff. Huskey. Dave Jones. Kent. Klosak. Laurino. Margalus. Mautino. Excuse me, Matula. McBroom. McCourt. McMaster. Meyer. Molloy. Neff. Robbins. Ropp. Ryan. Sandquist. Schlickman. Sharp. E.G. Steele. C.M. Stiehl. Telcser. Totten. VonBoeckman. Watson. Williams. Winchester. And Woodyard."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Breslin, 'aye', Representative Conti 'aye', Representative Neff, 'aye', Steele, 'aye', Ralph Dunn, 'aye', Kent 'aye', Cissy Stiehl 'aye', Huskey, 'aye'. Representative 'Broom', 'aye'. Vinson, 'aye'. Schoeberlein, 'aye'. That's enough. We don't need anymore. Representative Ewing, 'aye'. Mulcahey 'aye'. What's the count? 112 'aye', 20 'no' and the motion carries. 1834, Representative Bullock."



Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to suspend the appropriate Rule in order that House...Senate Bill 1834 can be heard in Rules today."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "We really ought to dispose of this whole business and get to Committee. I think we're trying to undo every decision that's been made this Spring. I think this is highly out of order, and I rise in opposition to this and urge everybody to vote 'no' on it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "For the same reason, I also oppose it. This Bill had a fair hearing in Rules Committee. The evidence was substantial that this was not of an emergency nature. Eighty percent of those Canadian nurses who take the exam in Illinois make a passing grade, and this is not an emergency, and it was so ruled by the Rules Committee after a fair hearing."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bullock."

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I would like for the merits of this motion to be discussed, not the Bill. The Gentleman previous to me made some statements that are totally incorrect, and I don't want to get into the merits of this Bill, but the emergency has been documented succinctly, and what I'm asking this Body to do is allow the Rules Committee an opportunity to hear the Bill today. It is an emergency measure, and it did have bipartisan support. We did not have all of the Members who are permanent Members of the Committee present that day, and for that reason I am asking that the Bill be posted and be heard and posted for Rules today."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti. Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill has had a fair hearing. It's been heard in Rules once, and I don't know, I think I have to agree with



Sam Vinson that we're just wasting our time today. If we consider...give this Bill any further consideration."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pullen. Pullen."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, everyone knows that Sponsors are entitled to a couple of Roll Calls, and considering that we do not have full hearings in the Rules Committee, but hear only from the Sponsor, I don't think that time is really a very great importance on this. It will be disposed of, I would imagine, within four minutes after Mr. Bullock would present it, and I support this motion because he had one Roll Call, and I think he is entitled to a second. He has been interested enough in the Bill to file the motion, and I support it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in opposition to this motion. I think that as to Representative Pullen, this is Representative Bullock's second Roll Call. It is a very controversial Bill. I happened to be in the Rules Committee when it was heard. It was given a very long extensive hearing. It fell very far short of the number of votes required to exempt it. I would ask people to vote 'no' on this motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We might just as well open up the Rules Committee to all the Bills that have had a fair hearing in there and go back in there this afternoon and listen to all of them if we are going to stand here and go through these kinds of motions. I think we ought to defeat this motion soundly and get on with what we have to do."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I...you know, somewhat to reiterate what the Minority Leader said. I think...agreement between Mr.



Madigan and Mr. Ryan was agreed to hear the two Bills in Rules, and all of a sudden everybody else is jumping on the bandwagon, and from this point forward I would like...any further motions in reference to going...Bills going to Rules receives 107, I will verify each one."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's moved the previous question. The question is 'Shall the main question be put?'. Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. Representative Bullock to close."

Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill affects the life and death of many people in the State of Illinois. For that reason I think it is an emergency. This Bill was heard in Rules Committee contrary to one of the earlier speakers who either must have amnesia or suffering from lack of mathematics. The Bill received only three votes short of the necessary to be reported out. I think that is substantial. I would like to be given an opportunity to have another Roll Call on the Bill. The Bill is supported by all the major medical and hospital associations in the state. They think it is an emergency. I think it is an emergency, and I would like to ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor indicate by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 33 'aye', and 69 'no'. The motion failed. 21...2019, Representative Simms."



Simms: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've filed the necessary motion to have my name removed as the Chief Sponsor and have it replaced with Representative Madigan. And then he has a motion to..."

Speaker Redmond: "What was your motion, Representative Simms?"

Simms: "I filed two motions. One, is that the third Bill on the Workmen's Compensation problem, to have my name removed as the House Sponsor. Representative Madigan to be substituted as the House Sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave to be removed as House Sponsor on 2019? Leave is... Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Representative Simms is removed as Sponsor and Representative... Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I now move that the posting requirements be suspended for Senate Bill 2019 to permit this Bill to be heard in the Rules Committee this afternoon."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the motion. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, this Bill did have two Roll Calls in the Rules Committee already and it was voted down both times and I'd like to know under what rule it gets a third one."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 128 'aye', 2 'no' and the motion carries. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I now move to suspend Rule 25(e) with respect to Senate Bill 2019, until Friday, June 17, 1980. This would permit this Bill to be heard in the Labor and Commerce Committee on Tuesday afternoon."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is... do we



Speaker Redmond: "Do we have leave to use the same Roll Call? The machine is... Hearing no objection, leave is granted and the same Roll Call on the second motion with respect to Senate Bill 2019. 1531. Representative Christensen."

Christensen: "Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the appropriate rule to have Senate Bill 1581 heard in Rules this afternoon. I've cleared this with both sides of the aisle and I...I don't think there's any objection to having this Bill heard."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I have no objection."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "I think we've got the same issue here that we've had on a couple of these other Bills. We're trying to reverse everything. And just because a couple of leaders happen to have made a deal I don't think we ought to do it on every Bill. We ought to throw this out. I object to the request, I demand a Roll Call and I want a verification if it gets 107."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, I thought the Minority Leader just got up and said he didn't want to hear anymore of these Bills. Are we going to hear them all or none of them?"

Speaker Redmond: "It depends on the Bill and the neighborhood. The quality of the Bill."

Ewing: "Who's vote should I follow then, Representative Vinson or Representative Ryan."

Speaker Redmond: "I'd follow Ryan if I was on your side of the aisle. Representative Meyer."

Meyer: "Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to this Bill. This Bill is blatantly unconstitutional. The Supreme Court has time and time again said you can't do this. It's



a violation of the commerce clause and it's...we're just continually taking up the time of this House and the Rules Committee and for those reasons I object."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, I think this is a little different situation than many of the motions that have been made here previously in that through some mechanical oversight this...this Bill was never posted for a hearing, has not had a hearing in Rules. It was the result of an oversight and I think there ought to be an opportunity for a hearing in Rules and the Rules Committee can decide whether it's a...it's an emergency or not. But this has not been heard, there have been no Roll Calls on it, it was just inadvertently omitted from a previous posting."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the waiving...suspension of the rules with respect to Senate Bill 1581. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Does this require 107 or 89?"

Speaker Redmond: "107."

Vinson: "Okay, I renew my request for a verification if it gets to that number."

Speaker Redmond: "I don't remember you asked for it before. Have all...have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 102 'aye' and 32 'no'. Representative Christensen has requested a poll of the absentees, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees. Alexander. Beatty."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Murphy, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Bell. Bower. Braun. Casey. Chapman. Currie. Daniels."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Epton requests leave to be



verified. Vinson...Vinson, verify Representative Epton. Proceed. Representative Conti, for what purpose do you rise?"

Conti: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker, I was off the floor. How am I voted on this Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is Representative Conti recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Conti: "Vote me 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman to 'no'. Representative Currie, 'aye'. Proceed with the poll of the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Dwight Friedrich. Gaines. Griesheimer. Hanahan. Hoffman. Hudson. Huff. Kelly. Klosak. Laurino. Mahar. Margalus. McCourt."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Margalus, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Mccourt. Mugalian."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mugalian, 'aye'. Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "O'Brien. Pierce. Polk. Reed. Robbins. Sandquist. Schlickman. Schneider. Schuneman."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schuneman, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Steczo."

Speaker Redmond: "Steczo, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "E.G. Steele. Totten. VonBoeckman."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hallstrom, for what purpose do you rise? Change Representative Hallstrom from 'aye' to 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "VonBoeckman. Walsh. Watson. Wikoff. Willer. And, Yourell."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Frederick, 'aye'. Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Change my vote from 'yes' to 'no'. And would you change Fred Tuerk too? I pushed it inadvertently, he's not here."

Speaker Redmond: "What rule permits me to do that? Representative Bluthardt, what rule permits me to do



that?"

Bluthardt: "Bluthardt's Rules of Order, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Have they been printed and circulated?"

Change Representative Bluthardt to 'no'. Representative Willer. Willer, 'aye'. Have all the absentees been polled and have they all voted and what's the count? Representative Bullock, 'no'. What's the count? 106 'aye'. Representative Alexander, 'aye'. Representative... Representative Jones, 'aye'. You got Alexander 'aye'? Representative Jones desires to change from 'aye' to 'no'. This isn't the E.R.A. vote. Anybody else? What's the count, Mr. Clerk? 106 'aye' and 37 'no' and the motion fails. 1678. Representative Rigney."

Rigney: "Mr. Speaker, Senate Bill 1678 amends the Revenue Section dealing with the exemption for homesteads. Specifically, what it does it to allow any unit up to six residential units to be considered the same as a single residence, making them eligible for the homestead exemption. I ask that... I ask that this go back to the Rules Committee for a second hearing."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this is the same sort of thing again. It's a bad Bill. It's a bad idea. It's a bad motion and we ought to defeat it. There's no emergency. I urge people to vote 'no' so we can get to Committee and get some real work done."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber." Representative Collins."

Collins: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I / <sup>heartily</sup> concur with Representative Vinson. This Bill hardly qualified as an emergency in the first place. I can't imagine for giving it a second hearing in the Rules Committee and I would urge everyone



to defeat this motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Rigney, to close."

Rigney: "Well obviously I don't agree with my good colleague Representative Collins. I think it is somewhat perhaps an emergency in that as I understand the procedures differ between the procedures of Cook County as compared to downstate Illinois and for that reason I thought that it would be a good idea that it passed in this Session."

Speaker Rigney: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the rules be suspended to permit the Rules Committee to hear Senate Bill 1678. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Slape."

Slape: "Mr. Speaker, in the interest of saving time I'd like to make the motion that we just reconsider all Bills that were before the Rules Committee on one Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? On this question there's 28 'aye' and 44 'no' and the motion fails." Now we have some motions to discharge that I think we might as well take now. Supplemental Calendar #1. On the order of motions. Representative Ryan. Wait a minute...hello. Guess we can't do this today. You got anything else? Agreed Resolutions. Representative Bullock, are you seeking recognition?"

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I was off the floor at the time that 1581 was called for a vote and I inadvertently pushed the wrong switch and I'd like, having voted on the prevailing side, to move to reconsider the vote by which that Bill was defeated. I move to reconsider the vote by which 1584 was considered."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins. Collins, were you seeking recogn... Leinenweber."



Leinenweber: "First of all, is that motion in order? And if so, I have a motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Will you state your motion again? Parliamentary please."

Bullock: "Having voted on the prevailing side on which the vote was taken on 1584, I now move to reconsider... 1581, I'm sorry."

Speaker Redmond: "How did you vote on that, Representative Bullock?"

Bullock: "I voted 'no' which was on the prevailing side."

Speaker Redmond: "No, he was here."

Bullock: "But I was inadvertently off the floor during debate. So, Representative Collins, I was here to push my switch however."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved to reconsider the vote by which the motion to suspend with respect to 15. What's the number of the Bill?"

Bullock: "1581."

Speaker Redmond: "1581 failed. Representative Simms."

Simms: "I was going to make a motion that that lie upon the table."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, Representative Bullock has made a motion to reconsider the vote. Representative Simms has moved that that lie on the table. Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "I think Representative Leinenweber made the point of order, but there was no ruling on it. The question is is that initial motion by Representative Bullock in order? Is it in writing and it's on the Calendar? Does the rule require that it be on the Calendar, Mr. Speaker? No."

Speaker Redmond: "Not a vote to reconsider."

Deuster: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is: on Representative Simms' motion to table the motion to reconsider. Those in favor



vote 'aye'; those opposed vote 'no'. Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "This is a dastardly tactic. And it ought to be defeated. We just did this.. defeated this same sort of thing a few minutes ago and we ought not let this happen."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, am I to understand this- I want to be sure my vote is counted correctly- this is a motion to table?"

Speaker Redmond: "That is correct."

Ewing: "To table the motion to reconsider."

Speaker Redmond: "That is correct."

Ewing: "So if we vote to .. green on this, then we will not reconsider the Bill. If we want to reconsider, we could vote red?"

Speaker Redmond: "I would assume that this is correct. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 54 'aye', and 89 'no'. And the motion to table fails. Representative Bullock."

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, having voted on the prevailing side with which Senate Bill 1581 was taken, I move that that vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Will you state that again? Will the Parliamentarian stay put?"

Bullock: "Having voted on the prevailing with which Senate Bill 1581 lost, I now move to reconsider."

Speaker Redmond: "We're on the motion to reconsider. Representative Simms."

Simms: "A question; First, did he vote on the prevailing side?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes."

Simms: "Secondly, how many votes does it take to reconsider? Well, if it takes 107 to suspend, how many votes would it take to reconsider?"

Speaker Redmond: "89. 89, on the motion to reconsider. And then 89 .. 107 on the other.. on the next motion. Repre-



sentative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "I don't think the motion was properly stated, Mr. Speaker. The Bill did not lose."

Speaker Redmond: "Parliamentarian advises me the motion is in correct order. The motion... Huh? Representative Bullock."

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I move the appropriate.. Let's see how we get back to this one now. Will the Parliamentarian come to seat 114?"

Speaker Redmond: "No."

Bullock: "What I'd like to do, Mr. Speaker, I voted on the prevailing side. This Bill lost. I'd like to recon... Having voted on the previous side.. the prevailing side, I move to reconsider the vote by which the motion to suspend the appropriate rule with regard to posting Senate Bill 1581 to be heard in the Rules Committee today was taken."

Speaker Redmond: "That's correct."

Bullock: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Vinson. "

Vinson: "I would move that motion lie on the table."

Bullock: "That's out of order."

Speaker Redmond: "I believe we've done that already. Representative Simms moved to table the motion before and he lost. Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "My.. My motion is that Mr. Bullock's motion lie on the table."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, that's what Simms' motion was."

Vinson: "No. Mr. Simms' motion was to table the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "No, it was not. Give Representative Vinson the rule books. Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "I'd like to make a parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker. It is my understanding that the motion that is supposed to be presented is a motion to reconsider. "

Speaker Redmond: "You're correct."



Deuster: "All right. And if that motion prevails with 89 votes, then we move to the next step which is to move to suspend the rules or anything else Mr. Bullock might have to do and in that case, that will take 107 votes when you get to that second step."

Speaker Redmond: "I don't presume to read Mr. Bullock's mind. But if Mr. Bullock does what you have read in his mind, then it would have taken.. it will take 107 votes."

Deuster: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Meyers."

Meyer : " Thank you, Mr. Speaker. On the merits, as I mentioned to you before, this is unconstitutional. This is a double waste of time. And, for those reasons, and a lot more, this motion and the succeeding motion should be defeated."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Chairman, if proper, I would like to make a motion that the Speaker appoint a Committee of nine Members to discuss the actual parliamentary maneuvers that we will have go through in order to get this Bill into the posture that we ought to get it in and that Committee should report back no later than August 1st."

Speaker Redmond: "You ready for the question on Representative Bullock's motion? The question is on the motion. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. It takes 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 94 'aye' and 57 'no', and the motion carried. Now, Representative Bullock."

Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to suspend the appropriate rule in order that Senate Bill 1581 could be posted for hearing in Rules Committee today."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "Now, Mr. Speaker, if his motion prevails, I'm requesting a verification of the Roll Call, if it reaches 107 votes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would speak against the motion. The House is quickly becoming a black hole in space which absorbs the clock. I would urge a 'no' vote on it and indicate that we want a verification."

Speaker Redmond: "Would you repeat that please?"

Vinson: "I said, we're becoming a black hole in space which absorbs the clock."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, apparently, some people are specifically.. Well, I won't mention them so they can't speak on a point of personal privilege, have become very irate as a result of some other motions which were to suspend the rules on issues that had previously been heard in the Rules Committee. This specific matter through an error, through an oversight, was not posted and has never had a hearing. It has been cleared by Leadership on both sides. I think it is a courtesy which we have given to all Members here that is the result of some oversight. The failure to post something is routinely waived or the rule requiring the posting is routinely waived when it has been as the result of an oversight. It's never had a hearing. I think it ought to have a hearing in the Rules Committee so they can simply determine whether it is or is not an emergency and make a ruling. All of that would take less time than we have taken here on the House floor. We ought to just put a unanimous Roll Call on here, a common courtesy we extend to all Sponsors of Bills in those circumstances."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is on the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed



vote 'no'. 107 votes. Have all voted who wish?  
Representative Bullock."

Bullock: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Representative Brummer hit I think a very significant note. And that is, one Member of this House has not been allowed..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti, for what purpose do you arise?"

Conti: "I think we're voting on the motion now. I don't think we ought to go into the merits of the Bill or the purpose or the reason why he's asking to call it back."

Speaker Redmond: "I think the point is well taken. It's a question on the motion. Have all voted who wish?  
Representative Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I don't know whether everybody understands this or not, but this is Representative Christensen's Bill and he told me personally that he has asked the Leadership to post this Bill and through an error, in the posting mechanism, the procedure, the Bill did not get.. get posted and when he went to Rules the other day, because it was not on their agenda, they wouldn't allow it to be heard. And I think Representative Conti is..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, unfortunately the Leadership / <sup>does</sup> not run in my district. I have strong feelings about this particular Bill and I still think we should be talking about the motion and I have the utmost respect for Representative Christensen. I think he's one of the finer Members of this House. But I have strong feelings about the Bill that he is sponsoring. I think we ought to stick to the motion. And, try to avoid any sympathy votes, because if I had to vote anyway for Christensen I would be voting for him. But the contents of the Bill I have to go back to the people in my district and explain it."



Speaker Redmond: "In the opinion of the Parliamentarian, the remarks of Representative VanDuyne do address the motion. Proceed."

VanDuyne: "Mr. Speaker, I was only using that as a prelude to what I was going to say. Now, I have two..."

Speaker Redmond: "Hear Mr. VanDuyne out. "

VanDuyne: "I had two Bills and I filed the very same motion with Representative... our fearless Leader, Madigan, and they allowed me the courtesy, even though either Bill of mine did not get out of Rules. Nevertheless, I was heard and I was given my chances. This is something Representative Christensen has not had .. had the courtesy to be allowed to do, as you know, all these other Representatives on the other side of the aisle, who are being very technical, they would be the loudest people on the floor if they were denied the same privilege as they're not allowing Representative Christensen to have here today. So, it's really not that much. My Bills were heard in a matter of about five minutes and I agree with somebody who said that the hearings in Rules Committee would take a heck of a lot less time than what we're using up here today."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 107 'aye' and 41 'no'. Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Request a verification, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative... Vinson requests a verification. Representative Christensen requests a poll of the absentees. Poll the absentees, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees; Barnes. Bower. Braun. Casey. Davis. Ralph Dunn. Epton. Virginia Frederick. Griesheimer. Grossi. Hallstrom. Henry. Klosak. Laurino. Molloy. Sandquist. Schlickman. Schneider. Telcser. Totten. VonBoeckman. Winchester. And, Yourell."



Speaker Redmond: "Verify the affirmative. Representative Richmond."

Richmond: "Request leave to be verified."

Speaker Redmond: "May Representative Richmond be verified? Proceed with the verification of the Affirmative Roll Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ackerman. Alexander. Anderson. Balanoff. Beatty. Bianco. Birchler. Bowman. Bradley. Breslin. Brummer. Bullock. Capparelli. Chapman. Christensen. Collins. Cullerton. Currie. Darrow. Dawson. DiPrima. Domico. Donovan. Doyle. John Dunn. Dyer. Ebbesen. Ewell. Ewing. Farley. Flinn. Dwight Friedrich. Gaines. Garmisa. Getty. Giorgi. Goodwin. Greiman. Hanahan. Hannig. Harris. Hoxsey. Huff. Jaffe. Johnson. Dave Jones. Emil Jones. Kane. Katz. Keane. Kelly. Kent. Kornowicz. Kosinski. Krska. Kulas. Lechowicz. Leon. Leverenz. Madigan. Mahar. Margulas. Marovitz. Matijevich. Mautino. MCBroom. McClain. McGrew. McPike. Mugalian. Mulcahey. Murphy. Oblinger. O'Brien. Patrick. Pechous. Pierce. Pouncey. Preston. Rea. Richmond. Robbins. Ronan. Ropp. Ryan. Satterthwaite. Schisler. Schoeberlein. Schraeder. Schuneman. Sharp. Skinner. Slape. Steczo. C.M. Stiehl. Stuffle. Taylor. Terzich. VanDuyne. Vitek. White. Willer. Williams. Williamson. Sam Wolf. Younge. And, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Representative Neff, 'aye'. What's the count? Representative Epton desires to be verified. That all right? What's the count? Is Epton on there? He's not on there. Will you record him as 'aye', Epton? Now, what's the count? 109. Representative Capuzi."

Capuzi: "Change my vote from 'no' to 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Capuzi, 'aye'. I hear a noise someplace. Representative Macdonald, 'aye'. Now, it's



what? 110? 111. Pardon me, 111. Any questions of the Affirmative Roll Call? Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Representative Beatty."

Speaker Redmond: "Beatty on the floor? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Vinson: "Representative Ebbesen?"

Speaker Redmond: "Ebbesen on the floor? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Vinson: "Representative Ewell."

Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Vinson: "Representative Garmisa?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is Representative Garmisa recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove Representative Garmisa from the Roll Call." Wait a minute. No, here he's back. There's Representative Garmisa. Put him back on."

Vinson: "Hanahan?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Vinson: "Representative Hannig?"

Speaker Redmond: "Who?"

Vinson: "Hannig."

Speaker Redmond: "Gary Hannig? How is Hannig recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Vinson: "Representative Harris."

Speaker Redmond: "How is Harris recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Vinson: "Representative Jones, Emil Jones?"



Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Vinson: "Representative Keane?"

Speaker Redmond: "He's back there."

Vinson: "Representative Kent."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kent? How is she recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove her."

Vinson: "Representative Madigan."

Speaker Redmond: "He's around here, in front."

Vinson: "Representative McGrew?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is McGrew recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Vinson: "Representative Mulcahey."

Speaker Redmond: "Emil Jones is back here. Put him back on the  
this last one?"  
Roll Call. Who was /

Vinson: "All right. I / found him. He's not in his seat as he's  
supposed to be, but he is here. Representative  
O'Brien."

Speaker Redmond: "How is O'Brien recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Is he in the chamber? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Vinson: "Representative Ropp."

Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Vinson: "Representative Sharp."

Speaker Redmond: "Sharp? How is he recorded? Sharp."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Vinson: "Representative Stiehl? C.M. Stiehl?"



Speaker Redmond: "C.M. Stiehl here? How is she recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove her."

Vinson: "Representative Taylor?"

Speaker Redmond: "Who?"

Vinson: "Taylor, Representative Taylor. He's a big fellow."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Vinson: "Representative Sam Wolf."

Speaker Redmond: "Sam Wolf? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Vinson: "Representative Ralph Dunn."

Speaker Redmond: "Ralph Dunn in the chamber? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him. (sic). Oh, Jim Taylor's back. Put him back on."

Vinson: "No further questions, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "How many have you got, Mr. Clerk? 98 'ayes', 39 'nos'. Representative Grossi? 'Aye'. That's 99. Anyone else. Representative Winchester, 'aye'. Representative Reed, 'aye'. Representative Jones, are you seeking recognition? I guess not. Anything else? Have all voted who wish? Representative Rigney? 'Aye'. Representative Swanstrom, 'aye'. Representative Burnidge, 'aye'. Representative Steele, 'aye'. Mr. Steele. Representative Frédérick, 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Mrs. Frederick. What's the count, now, Mr. Clerk? 106 'aye'.. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded?"



Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Brummer: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Harris? Representative William Harris? Put him back on the Roll Call. Representative Rigney. 'No'. Have all voted who wish? The horses are at the polls. What's the count, now? Representative Pechous, for what purpose do you arise?"

Pechous: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Pechous: "That's the right color. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "106, is that what it is, Mr. Clerk? Have all voted who wish? What is the count, Mr. Clerk? 106 'aye'. How many 'no'? 35 'no'. And the motion fails. Any announcements? Representative Katz."

Katz: "Excuse me, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Madigan got an extension in the rules with regard to I7.. Senate Bill 1739, 1740, and also 2019. So I believe that 1694 did pass out of here today and ought to be given.. the time ought to be extended till next Friday with regard to 1694. You didn't do that, did you, Mr. Madigan?"

Speaker Redmond: "No."

Katz: "And so I would first make the motion that 1694, Senate Bill 1694, be accorded the same treatment as the other Bills and that the time within which that could come out of Committee be extended until Friday, of next week."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any objection? Representative Ryan, it's a motion to suspend Rule 25 to permit it to be heard in Committee, same as 1439, 40 and 2019. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Will you hold on just a minute, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Oh, all right."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, I will withdraw that motion. Okay?"

Speaker Redmond: "Oh, all right. Motion is withdrawn."

Katz: "And now, I have another motion to make, Mr. Speaker."



Speaker Redmond: "Okay."

Katz: "With regard to Senate Bill 1739, 1740, 1694 and 2017 I would ask leave to waive Rule 18 so that those Bills might be heard in the Rules Committee meeting today."

Speaker Redmond: "It's already been done, Representative Katz."

Katz: "Has it been done?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes."

Katz: "All right. I wanted to make sure we had that. Okay. That'll be fine, then, Mr. Speaker. Those will be heard immediately after we adjourn in the Speaker's Office."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further announcements? Representative VanDuyne."

VanDuyne: "Yes, I'm curious as to what the difference between Representative Katz's motion to by pass the rules and the one Mr. Christensen wants? One goes through an hour of turmoil on the floor and the other one goes, I presume, just goes through without even a voice vote. What's the difference?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz's motion wasn't put because a similar motion passed quite some time ago put by Representative Madigan. "

VanDuyne: "With regard with 1694?"

Speaker Redmond: "No, no, no. Representative Katz made a motion with respect to 1739, 40 and 2019 and those motions had previously been made and carried."

VanDuyne: "Yes, I heard him distinctly say 1694 also."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, he withdrew that motion so... Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "He withdrew the motion with regard to 1694 and he restated a new motion that included 1694."

Speaker Redmond: "He withdrew that. "

Brummer: "Could we have Representative Katz again restate his motion?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz?"



Katz: "I withdrew that motion, so it ended up I made no request to this Body to suspend any rules. Not having been requested to by the Sponsor, I have made no such requests here and we're back where we started."

Speaker Redmond: "We can handle that tomorrow as a matter of fact. If/<sup>it</sup>comes out of Committee. It has to come out of Rules first. All we did today was waive the posting rule so that we could hear it in Rules Committee today. And if it comes out today, then another motion would have to be put. Representative VanDuynes."

VanDuynes: "Well, Mr. Speaker, that's exactly what I'm stating. I can't see the difference between one Member's.... After all, I thought that was all Representative Christensen was doing, and that is, getting permission to waive the posting rule to have his Bill heard in Rules. Now, you just...we just went through a very laborious hour with Representative Vinson, and Representative Katz gets up in a matter of eight seconds and gets his...waives the posting rule, because...well, I don't care what the/<sup>reason</sup> is whether they have no revenue meeting or whatever. Nevertheless, the... affect is still the same thing. He is bypassing Committee and waiving the rule at the same...with only a voice motion."

Speaker Redmond: "It is hard to believe that Representative Katz was in error when he purported to put the motion because that motion has already been put by Representative Madigan. <sup>When</sup> /Representative Ryan moved to be withdrawn as the Sponsor. Now the motion did not lie because it had already been passed. Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Joint Resolution 113, Skinner. Senate Joint Resolution 114, Ewing. Senate Joint Resolution 117, Walsh. House Resolution 863, Neff; 864, Pechous; 866, Capuzi; 867, Doyle; 870, DiPrima."

Speaker Redmond: "Resolution...Representative Giorgi."



Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, Skinner's 113 honors Rev. Dalton. Ewing's 114 notes the 100 anniversary of a newspaper. Walsh's 117, 120 years of parish. 863 by Neff learns of a city...a water plant being named after some fellow in his district. 864,.....864 by Pechous marks about the 'Hudina' family. Somebody in that family plays the juke box. 866 by Capuzi recognizes '.....Angelo'. 867 by Doyle admires 'Claude Zubinski', and 870 by DiPrima calls attention to the business administration's 'poy-poy'. I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Cullerton, do you move the... second the motion?" The question's on the motion... those...say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. The Agreed Resolutions are adopted. I don't know whether this is a congratulatory or a Death Resolution. What is it, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 871."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Willer, for what purpose do you rise?"

Willer: "Mr. Speaker, I am sorry to repeat this, but I have been informed...quite a few Members, the Human Resources Committee did not hear me announce there's going to be a meeting of the Human Resources Committee immediately after adjournment. We will be out in about 20 minutes if you'll be there on time. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "That is the second time you've made that announcement. Representative...Mr. Clerk, what have you got on 871?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution, 871, Redmond...(continued)"



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich...co-captain Terzich."

Terzich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I really didn't come up to stand up and speak about the Resolution. However, it does go to show you that the Senate did have a severe case of Dunlap disease where their belly done lap over their belt and they couldn't see the ball or swing the bat. And I guess you just can't...well, you just have to acknowledge the pure talent of the House. Things go much better in the House anyhow. So congratulations to all...all of the players and the supporters of the House team. The victory was ours and it was a close battle last year, but I guess it was a little close this year. I still don't understand how they did get that one run in the first inning, and I'll have to... we'll have to improve on that next year."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Resolution. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. The Resolution is adopted. Mr. Clerk, will you give a copy of that Resolution to Senator Hall so he can carry it back and have it read over in the Senate? Anything further? Representative White."

White: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think it is proper that I announce the fact that Bill Marovitz will not be with us next year. He played out his option last night. And I think with the acquisition of Billie Marovitz the level of competition will be greatly increased between the House and Senate."

Speaker Redmond: "Further Resolutions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 865, Stuffle et al."

Speaker Redmond: "Committee on Assignment. Death Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 868, Hallock-Giorgi-Simms, would respect the memory of Mr. John W. 'Greims'. House Resolution 869, DiPrima et al, respects the memory of the Honorable Jimmy L. Washington."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of these two Death Resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi moves the adoption of the Death Resolutions. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carries. The Death Resolutions are adopted. Anything further? Representative Madigan. Nine o'clock. I encourage the Members to get here promptly at nine o'clock. We're going to take the motions to discharge. Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Mr. Speaker, there was a large turn out to see Mr. Tom 'Wall' with regard to the group insurance benefit change, and Mr. 'Wall' mentioned that he will be available at 9 o'clock tomorrow morning and the rest of the morning to answer any inquiries to the Members with regard to the revision of their group health and life insurance benefits. So anybody that is interested in the optional life or the HMO program or insuring their dependents for dependent coverage or changing their insurance option may do so again tomorrow morning. Mr. Tom 'Wall' from the Group Insurance Department will be there to accept your application and also answer any questions you may have, and he will be in Zeke Giorgi's office which is located...or near there in the corner here of the House chamber. So tomorrow morning, nine o'clock."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan. The Clerk advises me that he has to stand in perfunct for a few minutes to read some Committee reports, is it, Mr. Clerk? Nine o'clock."

Madigan: "Providing five minutes for a perfunctory Session, I move that we adjourn till nine a.m. tomorrow morning."

Speaker Redmond: "Longer than that, he says. Why don't we



stand in perfunct until he has read all the Committee reports and then adjourn till tomorrow at nine."

Madigan: "So move."

Speaker Redmond: "Like I say, I can't encourage you to be here promptly because we may be able to get out of here by noon if we get here at nine. If we don't get here why we can't. We've got to stay until we do some of the housekeeping work tomorrow. The question's on Representative Madigan's...Speaker's office... Representative Madigan's motion that we...House stand adjourned after perfunct until...I have Rules Committee in the Speaker's office. Those in favor of Representative Madigan's motion say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. The House now stands...will stand adjourned till nine o'clock tomorrow after the perfunctory Session."

Clerk Hall: "Committee reports. Representative Capparelli, Chairman from the Committee on Executive to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 12, 1980 reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' Senate Bills 1822 and 2013; 'do pass as amended' Senate Bills 1666, 1967, 2014; 'do pass as amended Short Debate Calendar' Senate Bill 2007; be adopted House Resolution 631, 732; 'be adopted as amended' House Resolution 178, 385. Being no further business, the House now stands adjourned."



DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX  
JUNE 12, 1980

SE-0673	3RD READING	PAGE	19
SB-0934	2ND READING	PAGE	50
SB-1441	2ND READING	PAGE	6
	MOTIONS	PAGE	6
SB-1483	MOTIONS	PAGE	57
SE-1506	3RD READING	PAGE	17
SB-1581	MOTIONS	PAGE	67
	MOTIONS	PAGE	72
SB-1585	2ND READING	PAGE	52
SB-1597	3RD READING	PAGE	51
SB-1613	3RD READING	PAGE	8
SB-1616	3RD READING	PAGE	9
SB-1619	2ND READING	PAGE	27
SB-1623	3RD READING	PAGE	9
SE-1629	2ND READING	PAGE	28
SB-1639	2ND READING	PAGE	30
SB-1678	MOTIONS	PAGE	70
SB-1694	MOTIONS	PAGE	60
SB-1739	MOTIONS	PAGE	54
SB-1740	MOTIONS	PAGE	54
SB-1834	MOTIONS	PAGE	63
SB-1841	2ND READING	PAGE	30
SB-1844	2ND READING	PAGE	39
SB-1982	2ND READING	PAGE	53
SE-2019	MOTIONS	PAGE	66
SE-2024	3RD READING	PAGE	10
HR-0551	3RD READING	PAGE	43
HR-0871	3RD READING	PAGE	86
HR-0873	3RD READING	PAGE	55

## LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX  
JUNE 12, 1980

PAGE 2

## SUBJECT MATTER

SPEAKER REDMOND-HOUSE TO ORDER	PAGE	1
REV. KREUGER-PRAYER	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLIGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	1
MESSAGES FROM THE SENATEQ	PAGE	2
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	2
READING OF THE JOURNAL	PAGE	5
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	8
RECESS	PAGE	40
MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	42
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	85
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	89
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	91
HOUSE STANDS ADJOURNED	PAGE	92